arXiv:1201.1986v2 [math.AP] 17 Jan 2012

Vanishing Viscous Limits for 3D Navier-Stokes Equations with A Navier-Slip Boundary Condition*

Lizhen Wang[a,1], Zhouping Xin[b,2], Aibin Zang[b,c,3]

[a] The Department of Mathematics Northwest University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, P.R. China
[b] The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong
[c] The School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Yichun University, Yichun, Jiangxi, P.R.China

[1]Email: lwang19@tom.com, [2]Email: zpxin@ims.cuhk.edu.hk, [3]Email: zangab05@126.com

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the vanishing viscosity limit for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations with a Navier slip boundary condition on general compact and smooth domains in $\mathbf{R}^3$. We first obtain the higher order regularity estimates for the solutions to Prandtl's equation boundary layers. Furthermore, we prove that the strong solution to Navier-Stokes equations converges to the Eulerian one in $C([0,T];H^1(\Omega))$ and $L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)$, where T is independent of the viscosity, provided that initial velocity is regular enough. Furthermore, rates of convergence are obtained also.

Keywords: Navier-Stokes equations; Euler equations; Navier slip boundary conditions; Prandtl's equation; boundary layer; vanishing viscosity limit

Mathematics Subject Classification(2000): 35Q30; 35Q35

---

*This research is partially supported by Zheng Ge Ru Funds, Hong Kong RGC Earmarked Research Grant CUHK4042/08P, CUHK4040/06P, and CUHK4041/11P and by Scientific research plan projects of Shaanxi Education(09JK770); China Postdoctoral Science Foundation(20090461305); by Doctor Funds of Yichun Univeristy.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the vanishing viscosity limit problem from the Navier-Stokes flows on a general 3-dimensional bounded domain with Navier-slip boundary condition. The viscous flow is governed by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^\nu - \nu\Delta u^\nu + (u^\nu\cdot\nabla)u^\nu + \nabla\pi^\nu = 0, & \text{in } \ \Omega\times(0,T), \\ \nabla\cdot u^\nu = 0, & \text{in } \ \Omega\times(0,T), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$u^\nu\cdot\vec{n} = 0, \quad (\operatorname{curl} u^\nu)\times\vec{n} = 0, \quad \text{on } \ \partial\Omega\times(0,T), \tag{1.2}$$

where $\vec{n}$ is the outnormal of $\partial\Omega$, and initial velocity

$$u^\nu|_{t=0} = u_0(x), \quad \text{in } \ \Omega.$$

Here the unknowns are the velocity $u^\nu(t,x)$ and the scalar pressure $\pi^\nu(t,x)$, $u_0(x)$ is the given initial velocity and the corresponding problem for the Euler equations reads

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^0 + (u^0\cdot\nabla)u^0 + \nabla\pi^0 = 0, & \text{in } \ \Omega\times(0,T), \\ \nabla\cdot u^0 = 0, & \text{in } \ \Omega\times(0,T), \\ u^0\cdot\vec{n} = 0, & \text{on } \ \partial\Omega\times(0,T), \\ u^0|_{t=0} = u_0(x), & \text{in } \ \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

It should be noted that the slip boundary condition (1.2) is a special case of the more general Navier-slip boundary condition

$$u^\nu\cdot\vec{n} = 0, \quad (D(u^\nu)\vec{n} + \alpha u^\nu)_\tau = 0, \quad \text{on } \ \partial\Omega\times(0,T), \tag{1.4}$$

where $D(u^\nu) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u^\nu + (\nabla u^\nu)^t)$ and τ is any tangent direction on $\partial\Omega$.

The problem of vanishing viscosity limits for the Navier-Stokes equations is a classical issue. In the absence of physical boundaries, then any smooth solutions to the Euler system can be approximated by the ones to Navier-Stokes equations, see [2, 9–11, 16, 17, 20, 29]. However, in the presence of physical boundaries, this problem is a challenging problem due to the possible formation of boundary layers. The problem for the non-slip boundary condition was formally derived by Prandtl in [26], in which it was obtained that the boundary layer can be described by an initial-boundary problem for a nonlinear degenerate parabolic-elliptic couple system called the Prandtl's equations. Under monotonic assumptions on the velocity of the outflow, Oleinik and her collaborators established the local existence of smooth solutions for boundary value problem of the 2-dimensional Prandtl's equations [25]. In this case, the existence and uniqueness of global solutions to the Prandtl's equations was established by Xin, Zhang [37](also see [36]). In [27], Sammartino and Caflisch obtained the local existence of the analytic solutions to the Prandtl's equations and a rigorous theory on the boundary layer in incompressible fluids with analytic data in the frame of the abstract Cauchy-Kowaleskaya theory.

However, the usual non-slip assumption was not always accepted from experimental facts. In [22], Navier first proposed the slip boundary condition (1.4) i.e. the tangential velocity proportional to the tangential component of the viscous stress while maintaining the no-flow condition in the normal direction, which is now called Navier boundary condition. This boundary condition was rigorously justified as the effective boundary conditions for flows over rough boundaries, see [15].

In contrast to the case of non-slip boundary condition, one would expect that the boundary layers are much weaker for the Navier-slip boundary condition, (1.2), and thus it should be easier to settle the problem of vanishing viscosity. Indeed, there have been many interesting studies along this line. For 2-dimensional smooth domains, Yudovich [38] and Lions, P.L. [18] studied this problem for a special class of Navier-slip conditions, the vorticity free condition, for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, i.e. (1.4) in 2-dimensional space. For the general Navier-slip conditions, Clopeau, et.al. [8], Lopes Filho, Nussenveig Lopes and Planas [19] obtained that the solution u^ν to (1.1) converges to the solution u^0 of Euler equations in $L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+))$ assuming that initial vorticity is uniformly bounded. More generally, Iftimie and Planas [13] observed that in both dimension two and three a direct L^2 estimate yields the strong L^2 convergence to Euler equation, and that the convergence in H^2 is impossible in general. Thus, higher order (weaker) boundary layers must appear in general. This was investigated further by Iftimie and Sueur in [14] for Navier-slip conditions (1.4) with fixed slip length ($\alpha = const.$) and they improved the strong L^2 convergence with the rate $O(\nu^{\frac{3}{4}})$. Furthermore, Wang, X.P., Wang, Y.G. and Xin, Z.P. [33] studied the asymptotic behavior of solutions to (1.1) with Navier boundary conditions (1.4) for variable slip length ($\alpha = \nu^\gamma$). They observed that the vanishing viscosity limit for the problem (1.1) with boundary conditions (1.2) for $\alpha = \nu^\gamma$ should be influenced by the amplitude of the slip length. It should be noted that the approach in [33] can yield easily the leading profile expansion of boundary layers not only in $L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$ as given in [14], but even in

$L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)$. More recently, Masmoudi and Rousset [21] proved that the solutions to (1.1) converge uniformly to the one of the Euler equations in the spatial and time variables under the frame of conormal Sobolev space. However, for general Navier boundary conditions, it is difficult to obtain the convergence in higher order, even in H^1 as mentioned in [13].

In 2007, Xiao and Xin [34] first studied the problem (1.1) with the completely slip boundary conditions, i.e. (1.2) which is a special case of (1.4). For the special case of flat boundaries, Xiao and Xin obtained the uniform H^2-convergence theory with the optimal convergence rate. Later, Beirão da Veiga and Crispo obtained the corresponding L^p-theory and the $W^{k,p}$-convergence in [4, 5], and they pointed out that in general it is impossible to have the H^2-convergence for general 3D domains [6], see also [35]. It is also proposed in [4] as an challenging open problem to study the uniform H^1-convergence theory of solutions to the problem (1.1)-(1.2) for general 3D domains. The only previous results for this problem is due to Xiao-Xin in [35] where they obtained the convergence in $L^\infty((0,T)\times H^1(\Omega))$ for general smooth 3D domains with the rate $O(\nu)$ with the complete slip boundary condition (1.2) under the stringent additional condition that the initial vorticity vanishes on the boundary of the domain.

The main purpose of this paper is to establish the $L^\infty((0,T)\times H^1(\Omega))$ convergence theory for the solutions to the Navier-stokes system (1.1) with the slip boundary condition (1.2) in general 3D domains to the solution to the inviscid problem (1.3) with a rate $O(\nu^{\frac{1}{4}})$ and to prove the optimal rate of convergence in $L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)$.

Some of the main difficulties involved with general domains can be illustrated as follows. As pointed out in [12, 30], the solution, u^ν, to (1.1)-(1.3) is expected to have the form

$$u^\nu(t,x) = u^0(t,x) + \sqrt{\nu}u^b(t,x,\frac{z}{\sqrt{\nu}}) + O(\nu), \tag{1.4}$$

where z is equivalent to the distance between x and the boundary, u^b is the leading order boundary layer which is smooth and decreasing fast in the last variable. For flat boundaries, u^b vanishes identically and thus it is possible to obtain the uniform H^3 or $W^{2,p}$ ($p>3$) convergence theory as in [31, 3, 4]. While for general curved domains, due to the curvature of $\partial\Omega$, u^b does not vanish in general, which leads to new difficulties in the estimates of derivatives by the methods in [12, 31, 3, 4, 30, 32]. Our main strategy to overcome these difficulties is outlined as follows. The first key step is to estimate the leading order boundary layer profile u^b to gain higher order regularities than those known ones in [12, 30], see (3.9), in particular, the uniform $W^{k,p}$-estimate in slow spatial variable and H^s-estimates for time and fast spatial variables. The second is to establish the uniform L^p-bound $(3 < p \leq 6)$ for the remainder in the asymptotic expansion of u^ν (see (3.1)). It should be noted that the $L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega))$ convergence theory with a uniform rate follows easily from the uniform L^∞-bounds on derivatives obtained in [18] and the $L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$ convergence theory in [12, 30]. However, our approach yields a better rate of convergence for $p > 2$, which will be useful for our main results. The next step is to derive the H^1-bound in the order $O(\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ for the remainder in the asymptotic expansion (3.1). This follows from the important results in [18] that the gradients of the solution, u^ν, to (1.1)-(1.2) are uniformly bounded for sufficient smooth initial velocity and the uniform L^p-estimates derived in the previous step. Then the desired $C([0,T];H^1(\Omega))$ convergence theory with a rate $O(\nu^{\frac{1}{4}})$ follows from this and the asymptotic ansatz provided that the initial velocity is regular enough. The final step is to estimate the $W^{1,p}$ $(p > 3)$ bound for the remainder in the asymptotic expansion by following the arguments for H^1-estimates and to obtain the $L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)$ convergence with an optimal rate of order $O(\nu^{\frac{1}{2}})$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. First, in section 2, we state some notations and preliminary results to be used later. Then the asymptotic ansatz of the solution u^ν to (1.1)-(1.2) and main convergence results are given in section 3. The desired higher order regularities of the leading order boundary layer profiles are obtained in section 4. In section 5, the uniform L^p-bound for the remainder of the asymptotic ansatz is derived for $3 < p \leq 6$. Then we derive the H^1-estimate of the remainder and prove the $C([0,T];H^1(\Omega))$ convergence of u^ν to the solution, u^0, to (1.3) with a rate $O(\nu^{\frac{1}{4}})$, in section 6. Finally, we also obtain the $W^{1,p}$-estimates with $3 < p \leq 6$ and prove the convergence in $L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)$ with an optimal rate of order $O(\nu^{\frac{1}{2}})$ in section 6.

2 Notations and preliminaries

In this section, we will give some notations and preliminary results which will be employed later. Let Ω be a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$, and $1 < p \leq +\infty$.

In the following sections, we will utilize the classical Lebesgue spaces $(L^p(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_p)$, $(L^p(\partial\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p,\partial\Omega})$ and the standard Sobolev spaces $(W^{k,p}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{k,p})$ and trace spaces $(W^{m,p}(\partial\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{m,p,\partial\Omega})$. $L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$ is the subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$ satisfying the divergence free condition.

Let $(W^{k,m,l,p}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{k,m,l,p})$ be the anisotropic Sobolev spaces defined as follows: for $k, m, l \in \mathbf{N},\ \ p \geq 1$

$$W^{k,m,l,p}(\Omega\times\mathbf{R}_+)=\Big\{g(x,z)\in L^p(\Omega\times R_+):(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}}\partial_x^\alpha\partial_z^\beta g(x,z)\in L^p(\Omega\times R_+)\ ,\\ |\alpha|\leq m, \beta\in\mathbf{N}, \beta\leq l\}$$

with the norm

$$\|g\|_{k,m,l,p}^p=\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m,|\beta|\leq l}\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbf{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_z^\beta g(x,z)|^p\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z.$$

When $p=2$, for simplicity, denote $W^{k,m,l,2}(\Omega)$ by $H^{k,m,l}(\Omega)$. In the rest of paper, C will be a generic constant, which may change from line to line, but is independent of the viscosity.

In the sequel, we list several results including existence theorems of solution to the Navier-Stokes equations and the Euler equations, which will be used later.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Ω be given a smooth and open set and $1<p<\infty$. Then the following inequality holds true: There exists $C>0$, such that*

$$\|u\|_{p,\partial\Omega}\leq C\|u\|_p^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\|u\|_{1,p}^{\frac{1}{p}},\ \ \forall u\in W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Proof. This follows easily from Lemma 7.44 in [1]. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $u\in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ be a vector-valued function. Then for $s\geq 1$*

$$\|u\|_{s,p}\leq C\left(\|\nabla\times u\|_{s-1,p}+\|\mathrm{div}u\|_{s-1,p}+\|u\cdot n\|_{s-\frac{1}{p},\partial\Omega}+\|u\|_{s-1,p}\right).$$

Proof. See [7, 34]. □

Lemma 2.3. *For all $u\in W^{1,p}(\Omega),\ \ 1<p<+\infty$, there exists $C>0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_p\leq C\|\nabla u\|_p,$$
$$\|\nabla u\|_p\leq C(\|\nabla\times u\|_p+\|\mathrm{div}u\|_p),$$

for all u such that $u\cdot\vec{n}|_{\partial\Omega}=0,\ \ or\ u\times\vec{n}|_{\partial\Omega}=0.$

Proof. This is proved in [34]. □

It should be noted that in Lemma 2.3 and what follows, $\vec{n}$ denotes the unit normal of $\partial\Omega$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let u be a smooth function such that $\operatorname{curl} u \times \vec{n} = 0$. Then $\omega = \operatorname{curl} u$ satisfies the following equality on $\partial\Omega$*

$$-\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \vec{n}}\omega = (\epsilon_{1jk}\epsilon_{1i\gamma} + \epsilon_{2jk}\epsilon_{2i\gamma} + \epsilon_{3jk}\epsilon_{3i\gamma})\omega_j\omega_i\partial_k n_\gamma,$$

where ϵ_{ijk} denotes the totally anti-symmetric tensor such that $(\varphi \times \psi)_i = \epsilon_{ijk}\varphi_j\psi_k$.

Proof. This follows from [3]. ☐

Lemma 2.5. *(Hardy's Inequality) If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 2$, is a bounded lipschitz domain, then*

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)|^p}{d(x,\partial\Omega)^{p-\beta}}\mathrm{d}x \leq C\int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{d(x,\partial\Omega)^{-\beta}}\mathrm{d}x, \ \ \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

for all $\beta < p-1$, where $d(x,\partial\Omega)$ is the distance between x and $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. See [23] ☐

Lemma 2.6. *(Gronwall's Lemma)*

a) (Differential Version) Suppose that h and r are integrable on (a,b) and nonnegative a.e. in (a,b). Further assume that $y \in C([a,b])$, $y' \in L^1(a,b)$, and

$$y'(t) \leq h(t) + r(t)y(t) \text{ for a.e. } t \in (a,b).$$

Then

$$y(t) \leq \left[y(a) + \int_a^t h(s)\exp\left(-\int_a^s r(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right)\mathrm{d}s\right]\exp\left(\int_a^t r(s)\mathrm{d}s\right), \ \ t \in [a,b].$$

b) (Integral Form) Suppose that h is continuous on $[a,b]$, r is nonnegative and integrable on (a,b) and $y \in C([a,b])$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$y(t) \leq h(t) + \int_a^t r(s)y(s)\mathrm{d}s \text{ for a.e. } t \in (a,b).$$

Then

$$y(t) \leq h(t) + \int_a^t h(s)r(s)\exp\left(\int_s^t r(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right)\mathrm{d}s, \ \ t \in [a,b].$$

c) (Local Version) Let $T, \alpha, c_0 > 0$ be given constants and h be a nonnegative integrable function on $[0,T]$. Assume that $y \geq 0$ and $y \in C^1([0,T])$ satisfies

$$y'(t) \leq h(t) + c_0 y(t)^{1+\alpha} \ \text{ a.e. } t \in (0,T).$$

Let $t_0 \in [0,T]$ be such that $\alpha c_0 H(t_0)^\alpha t_0 < 1$, where

$$H(t) = y(0) + \int_0^t h(s)\mathrm{d}s.$$

Then for all $t \in [0, t_0]$ there holds

$$y(t) \leq H(t) + H(t)\left((1 - \alpha c_0 H(t)^\alpha t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} - 1\right).$$

Proof. a) and b) are standard, see [24]. For the proof of part c), one can refer to the Appendix of [12]. ☐

The following theorems ensures the existence of strong solutions to the Euler equations.

Theorem 2.7. *Assume that Ω is a regular bounded open set of $\mathbf{R}^3$. Let m and p be given, $p \geq 1$, $m > 1 + \frac{3}{p}$. Then for each $u_0 \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathrm{div} u_0 = 0$ and $u_0 \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, there exist $\bar{T} \leq T$ and a unique function u^0 and a function π on $(0, \bar{T})$, such that $u^0 \in C([0,\bar{T}]; W^{m,p}(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0,\bar{T}]; W^{m-1,p}(\Omega))$ and $\pi^0 \in L^\infty(0,\bar{T}; W^{m+1,p}(\Omega))$ solve (1.3).*

Proof. See [30] or [7]. ☐

Let $(Z_j)_{j=1\cdots N}$ be a set of generators of vector fields tangential to $\partial\Omega$. For a multiindex β, $Z^\beta = Z_1^{\beta_1}\cdots Z_N^{\beta_N}$, define

$$H^m_{co}(\Omega) = \{f \in L^2(\Omega) : Z^\beta f \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ for all } |\beta| \leq m\}$$

with

$$\|f\|^2_{H^m_{co}(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\beta|\leq m} \|Z^\beta f\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Similarly, one can define the space $W^{m,\infty}_{co}$ and $f \in W^{m,\infty}_{co}$ if

$$\|f\|_{W^{m,\infty}_{co}(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\beta|\leq m} \|Z^\beta f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \infty.$$

One can also define the space E^m by

$$E^m = \{u \in H^m_{co}(\Omega) | \nabla u \in H^{m-1}_{co}(\Omega)\}$$

with the obvious norm. The following important uniform well-posedness results for solutions to (1.1-1.2) in the above spaces are due to Masmoudi and Rousset [18].

Theorem 2.8. *Let m be an integer satisfying $m > 6$ and Ω be a C^{m+2} domain. Consider $u_0 \in E^m \cap L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$ such that $\nabla u_0 \in W^{1,\infty}_{co}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a positive constant T such that for all sufficiently small ν there exists a unique solution, $u^\nu \in C([0,T]; E^m)$ to (1.1, 1.2) such that $\|\nabla u^\nu\|_{1,\infty}$ is bounded on $[0,T]$. Moreover, there exists C independent of ν, such that*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} (\|u^\nu(t)\|_{H^m_{co}(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u^\nu(t)\|_{H^{m-1}_{co}(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u^\nu(t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}_{co}(\Omega)}) \leq C.$$

From now on, the time T is taken to be finite and fixed unless stated otherwise.

3 Asymptotic expansions of the solution and main result

In this section, we are going to study the asymptotic expansions of the strong solution as in Theorem 2.8 and state the main results in this paper.

First, choose a smooth function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R})$ such that in a neighborhood Λ of $\partial\Omega$, one has that $\Omega \cap \Lambda = \{\varphi > 0\} \cap \Lambda$, $\Omega^c \cap \Lambda = \{\varphi < 0\} \cap \Lambda$, $\partial\Omega \cap \Lambda = \{\varphi = 0\} \cap \Lambda$ and it is normalized such that $|\nabla\varphi| = 1$ for all $x \in \Lambda$. Thus φ is regarded as a distance between x and $\partial\Omega$ for $x \in \Lambda$ without restriction. It is assumed that $\Lambda = \{x \in \Omega : \varphi(x) < \eta\}$ for a small number $\eta > 0$. We define a smooth extension of the normal unit vector $\vec{n}$ inside Ω by taking $\vec{n} = \nabla\varphi$.

As in [14, 33], the solution u^ν to (1.1)-(1.2) is expected to be described by the following ansatz:

$$u^\nu(t,x) = u^0(t,x) + \sqrt{\nu} u^b(t, x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}) + \nu v(t, x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}) + \nu R^\nu(t,x); \quad (3.1)$$

$$\pi^\nu(t,x) = \pi^0(t,x) + \sqrt{\nu} p(t, x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}) + \nu q(t, x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}) + \nu \kappa(t,x). \quad (3.2)$$

Plugging (3.1) and (3.2) into (1.1) leads to

$$\partial_z u^b \cdot \vec{n} = 0; \quad (3.3)$$

$$\mathrm{div}_x u^b = -\partial_z v \cdot \vec{n}. \quad (3.4)$$

Following the argument in [33] shows easily that $p \equiv 0$. On the other hand, the term of the order $O(\sqrt{\nu})$ is

$$\partial_t u^b - \partial_z^2 u^b + \frac{u^0 \cdot \vec{n}}{\varphi(x)} z \partial_z u^b + u^0 \cdot \nabla u^b + u^b \cdot \nabla u^0 + u^b \cdot \vec{n} \partial_z u^b + \vec{n} \partial_z q \quad (3.5)$$

Modifying slightly the proof in [14], one can prove that if $u^b(t,x,0)\cdot\vec{n}(x)=0$ and u^b solves the following equations

$$\partial_t u^b-\partial_z^2 u^b+\frac{u^0\cdot\vec{n}}{\varphi(x)}z\partial_z u^b+(u^0\cdot\nabla u^b+u^b\cdot\nabla u^0)\times\vec{n}=0, \tag{3.6}$$

then $u^b\cdot\vec{n}=0$, for all $(t,x,z)\in(0,T)\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+$.

Therefore, we can infer that u^b satisfies the following system

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u^b-\partial_z^2 u^b+\dfrac{u^0\cdot\vec{n}}{\varphi(x)}z\partial_z u^b+(u^0\cdot\nabla u^b+u^b\cdot\nabla u^0)\times\vec{n}=0,\\ (u^0\cdot\nabla u^b+u^b\cdot\nabla u^0)\cdot\vec{n}=\partial_z q.\end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

with boundary and initial conditions

$$\begin{cases}u^b\cdot\vec{n}=0,\ \ \partial_z u^b=-\operatorname{curl}u^0\times\vec{n},\ \ \text{on}\ \ z=0,\\ u^b(0,x,z)=0.\end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

The following proposition follows essentially as in [12],

Proposition 3.1. *There exists a unique pair (u^b,q) which solves (3.7)-(3.8) with the following*

$$u^b\in L^\infty(0,T;H^{k,2,0})\cap L^2(0,T;H^{k,2,1})$$

for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\partial_z u^b\in L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Moreover, u^b vanishes for x outside the neighborhood Λ and $u^b\cdot\vec{n}=0$ for all $(t,x,z)\in(0,T)\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+$. Consequently, it holds that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u^\nu-u^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\le C\nu^{\frac{3}{4}},$$

provided that initial velocity $u_0\in H^3(\Omega)$.

In this paper, we aim to improve the regularity of u^b which is the solution of problem (3.7) with (3.8) under the assumption of higher order regularities for the initial velocity and to obtain our main results. First, we have

Theorem 3.2. *Let $u_0\in H^s$ for $s\ge 6$, be a divergence free vector field satisfying the boundary conditions (1.2). Suppose Ω to be a C^{s+2} bounded domain. Assume that u^ν is the weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) with initial velocity u_0. Let u^0 be the smooth solution to the problem (1.3) with the same initial data as in Theorem 2.8. Then there exists a unique* *boundary layer profile u^b solving (3.7)-(3.8) with the following regularities for $p>2$*

$$\begin{aligned}
&u^b \in L^\infty(0,T;W^{k,s,0,p});\\
&u^b \in C([0,T];H^{k,s-2,1})\cap L^\infty(0,T;H^{k,s-2,2}\cap H^{k,s-1,1})\cap L^2(0,T;H^{k,s-2,3});\\
&\partial_t u^b \in L^\infty(0,T;H^{k,s-2,0})\cap L^2(0,T;H^{k,s-2,1}\cap H^{k,s-1,0}).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Consequently, there exists $\nu_0>0$, small enough, such that for all $0<\nu\le\nu_0$, it holds that for all $p\in(3,6]$,

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u^\nu-u^0\|_p\le C\nu^{\frac12+\frac{1}{2p}},\ \sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|R^\nu\|_p\le C.$$

Here C is independent of ν.

Remark 3.3. *In fact, it follows from Gagliado-Nirenberg inequality, Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 2.8 that*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u^\nu-u^0\|_p\le C\nu^{\frac{3}{10}+\frac{9}{10p}}$$

However, for $p>2$, the rate above is less than the one in Theorem 3.2.

Based on Theorem 3.2, the following main results can be proved.

Theorem 3.4. *Under the same assumptions in Theorem 3.2, there exists $\nu_0>0$, suitably small, such that for all $0<\nu\le\nu_0$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|u^\nu-u^0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\le C_1\nu^{\frac14},\\
&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|u^\nu-u^0\|_\infty\le C_2\nu^{\frac12}
\end{aligned}$$

where C_i is independent of ν, $i=1,2$.

4 Estimates of boundary layers

In this section, we will derive the main regularity estimates for the first order boundary layer profile u^b, including L^p-estimates in (x,z) and the estimates of time regularity.

The following lemmas will be applied in the rest of paper.

We start with some elementary estimates.

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a constant* C *independent of* ν *such that for all* $v \in L^p_z(\mathbb{R}_+; W^{2,p}_x(\Omega)) = W^{0,2,0,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$, $p > 1$ *which vanishes for* x *outside the neighborhood* Λ *of* $\partial\Omega$,

$$\|v(x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}})\|_p \leq C\nu^{\frac{1}{2p}}\|v\|_{0,1,0,p}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\varphi(x)$ *is the smooth function defined as in section 3.*

Proof. (4.1) follows from a similar argument for Lemma 3 in [14]. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $u_0 \in W^{s+1,p}(\Omega)$ *with* $u_0 \cdot \vec{n} = 0$, *then* $f(x,t) = \frac{u^0 \cdot \vec{n}}{\varphi(x)} \in C([0,T]; W^{s,p}(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0,T]; W^{s-1,p}(\Omega))$.

Proof. This conclusion follows by modifying sightly the proof of Lemma 4 in [14]. $\square$

The following proposition shows the L^p-estimates of the higher order derivative in the x-variable for the boundary layer profile u^b.

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $2 \leq p < \infty$ *and* $k \geq 1$. *If* $u_0 \in W^{m+3,p}(\Omega)$ *with* $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ *and* $u_0 \cdot \vec{n} = 0, \mathrm{curl} u_0 \times \vec{n} = 0$ *on* $\partial\Omega$, *then*

$$u^b \in L^\infty(0,T; W^{k,m,0,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. This can be verified by induction. Set $g(x,t) = \mathrm{curl}\, u^0 \times \vec{n}$. Then

$$g \in C([0,T]; W^{m+2,p}(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0,T]; W^{m+1,p}(\Omega)).$$

At first, we consider the case $m = 0$. Multiply (3.6) by $(1+z^{2k})|u^b|^{p-2}u^b$ and integrate in x and z to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{p}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|u^b|^p\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z + \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})u^b\cdot\nabla u^0|u^b|^{p-2}u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z + \\
&\iint_{\Omega\times R_+}(1+z^{2k})u^0\cdot\nabla_x u^b|u^b|^{p-2}u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z + \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(z+z^{2k+1})f\cdot\partial_z u^b|u^b|^{p-2}u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \\
&-\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_z^2 u^b|u^b|^{p-2}u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Since $\nabla \cdot u^0 = 0$ and $u^0 \cdot \vec{u} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, the third term on the left hand side vanishes. Integrating by parts with respect to z to the last term and using

(3.8) and the decay property of u^0 due to Proposition 3.1 yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{p}\frac{d}{dt}\|u^b\|_{k,0,0,p}^p+\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_z u^b|^2|u^b|^{p-2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\\
\leq&-\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}2kz^{2k-1}\partial_z u^b|u^b|^{p-2}u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z-\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})u^b\cdot\nabla u^0|u^b|^{p-2}u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\\
&-\frac{1}{p}\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+(2k+1)z^{2k})f|u^b|^p\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z+\int_\Omega g(x,t)|u^b(x,t,0)|^{p-2}|u^b(x,t,0)|\mathrm{d}x\\
\equiv&\mathbb{I}_1+\mathbb{I}_2+\mathbb{I}_3+\mathbb{I}_4.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

Young's inequality implies that

$$|\mathbb{I}_1|\leq\varepsilon\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_z u^b|^2|u^b|^{p-2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z+\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|u^b|^p\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z.\tag{4.5}$$

Due to the regularity of u^0 and f, one can get that

$$|\mathbb{I}_2|+|\mathbb{I}_3|\leq C\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|u^b|^p\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z.\tag{4.6}$$

The term $\mathbb{I}_4$ can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{I}_4|\leq&\|g\|_p\left(\int_\Omega|u^b(x,t,0)|^p\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\leq C\|g\|_p\left(\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}|\partial_z u^b||u^b|^{p-2}u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\\
\leq&C\|g\|_p\left(\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_z u^b|^2|u^b|^{p-2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2p}}\left(\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|u^b|^p\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2p}}\\
\leq&\varepsilon\iint_{\Omega\times R_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_z u^b|^2|u^b|^{p-2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z+C\|g\|_p^p+C\iint_{\Omega\times R_+}(1+z^{2k})|u^b|^p\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

Then, for $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2}$, one gets from (4.4)-(4.7) that

$$\frac{1}{p}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|u^b\|_{k,0,0,p}^p\leq C\|u^b\|_{k,0,0,p}^p+C.$$

Thus Gronwall's Lemma yields

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T)}\|u^b\|_{k,0,0,p}\leq C,$$

which proves (4.2) for $m=0$.

Assume that when $s\leq m-1$, it holds that $u^b\in L^\infty(0,T;W^{k,s,0,p}(\Omega))$, when $u_0\in W^{s+3,p}$. Next we verify that for $s=m$, $u^b\in L^\infty(0,T;W^{k,s,0,p}(\Omega))$ holds true.

To this end, one can apply the operator ∂_x^α to (3.6) with $|\alpha| = m$, multiply the resulting identity by $(1+z^{2k})|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\partial_x^\alpha u^b$, and integrate in x and z to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{p}\frac{d}{dt}\|u^b\|_{k,m,0,p}^p &= \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_z^2\partial_x^\alpha u^b|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\\ &- \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_x^\alpha[(u^b\cdot\nabla u^0+u^0\cdot\nabla_x u^b)\times\vec{n}]|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\partial_x^\alpha u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \\ &- \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_x^\alpha(fz\partial_z u^b)|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\partial_x^\alpha u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z = I_1+I_2+I_3.\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

To estimate I_1, we integrate by parts with respect to z to get

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 \leq &- \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})(\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b)|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\\ &- \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}2kz^{2k-1}(\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b)|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\partial_x^\alpha u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\\ &+ \int_\Omega \partial_z(\partial_x^\alpha u^b)|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\partial_x^\alpha u^b|_{z=0}\mathrm{d}x\\ &= -\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^2|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z - I_{1_1} + I_{1_2}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Young's inequality that

$$|I_{1_1}| \leq \varepsilon\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^2|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z + C\iint_{\Omega\times R_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^p\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z$$

Since $\partial_z(\partial_x^\alpha u^b)|_{z=0} = \partial_x^\alpha g(x,t)$, by the same argument in the estimates of $\mathbb{I}_4$, one can get

$$\begin{aligned}|I_{1_2}| \leq &\ \varepsilon\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^2|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\\ &+ C\iint_{\Omega\times R_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^p\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z + C\|\partial_x^\alpha g(x,t)\|_p^p.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$I_1 \leq -(1-2\varepsilon)\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^2|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z + C\|u^b\|_{k,m,0,p}^p + C. \tag{4.9}$$

Now we turn to I_2. It follows from direct calculations (see [12]) that

$$\partial_x^\alpha(u\times\vec{n}) = (\partial_x^\alpha u)\times\vec{n} + D_x^{|\alpha|-1}(u), \tag{4.10}$$

where $D_x^\alpha(u)$ denotes a linear combination of components of u and their derivatives with respect to x of order $\leq |\alpha|$ with coefficients consisting of components of n and its derivatives. Then I_2 can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 = & \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k})\{[\partial_x^\alpha(u^b\cdot\nabla u^0 + u^0\cdot\nabla_x u^b)]\times\vec{n} \\
& + D_x^{m-1}(u^b\cdot\nabla u^0 + u^0\cdot\nabla_x u^b)\}\cdot|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \\
= & \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k})\{[\partial_x^\alpha(u^b\cdot\nabla u^0 + u^0\cdot\nabla_x u^b)] + D_x^{m-1}(u^b\cdot\nabla u^0 + u^0\cdot\nabla_x u^b) \\
& - [\partial_x^\alpha(u^b\cdot\nabla u^0 + u^0\cdot\nabla_x u^b)\cdot\vec{n}]\vec{n}\}|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z = J_1 + J_2 + J_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}
$$

First, we assume that $m \geq 3$ and recall the Leibniz' formula

$$
D^\alpha(uv) = \sum_{\beta\leq\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}D^\beta u D^{\alpha-\beta}v,
$$

where $D^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots\partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_n)$ and $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!}$, $\beta \leq \alpha$ means $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i (i = 1, \cdots, n)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_1 = & \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k})[\partial_x^\alpha(u^b\cdot\nabla u^0 + u^0\cdot\nabla_x u^b)]|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \\
= & \sum_{\beta\leq\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\left(\partial_x^\beta u^b\cdot\nabla\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}u^0 + \partial_x^\beta u^0\cdot\nabla\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}u^b\right)|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \\
= & \sum_{\beta\leq\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}(J_{11}^\beta + J_{12}^\beta).
\end{aligned}
$$

For the terms of $|\beta| \geq 1$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
|J_{11}^\beta| = & \left|\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_x^\beta u^b\cdot\nabla\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}u^0|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\right| \\
\leq & C\|\nabla\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}u^0\|_{L^\infty}\|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}}u^b\|_{|\beta|,p}\|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}}\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_p^{p-1} \\
\leq & C\|u^0\|_{m+3,p}^p\|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}}u^b\|_{|\beta|,p}^p + \|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}}\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_p^p.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the terms of $\beta = 0$, one gets from Sobolev's imbedding that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|J_{11}^0| = & \left|\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})u^b\cdot\nabla\partial_x^\alpha u^0|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}\partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\right| \\
\leq & C\|\nabla\partial_x^\alpha u^0\|_{2p}\|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}}u^b\|_{2p}\|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}}\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_p^{p-1} \\
\leq & C\|u^0\|_{m+3,p}^p\|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}}u^b\|_{1,p}^p + \|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}}\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_p^p,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $2p \le \frac{3p}{3-p}$.

Since $u^0 \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\mathrm{div} u^0 = 0$, it follows that

$$\left| \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k}) u^0 \cdot \nabla \partial_x^\alpha u^b |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2} \partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \right| = 0.$$

Next, the other terms of J_{12}^β for $\beta \neq 0$ can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{12}^\beta| =& \left| \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k}) \partial_x^\beta u^0 \cdot \nabla \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u^b |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2} \partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \right| \\ \le& C\|u^0\|_{m+3,p}^p \|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}} u^b\|_{m-|\beta|+1,p}^p + \|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}} \partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can conclude that

$$|J_1| \le C \left(\sum_{\beta\le\alpha, \beta>0} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} (||(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}} u^b||_{|\beta|,p}^p + ||(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}} u^b||_{m-|\beta|+1,p}^p) \right) + C\|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}} \partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_p^p.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3| =& \left| \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k}) [\partial_x^\alpha (u^b \cdot \nabla u^0 + u^0 \cdot \nabla_x u^b) \cdot \vec{n}] \vec{n} |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2} \partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \right| \\ =& \Bigg| \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k}) \Bigg[\Bigg(\sum_{\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \Big(\partial_x^\beta u^b \cdot \nabla \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u^0 \\ & + \partial_x^\beta u^0 \cdot \nabla \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u^b \Big) \Bigg) \cdot \vec{n} \Bigg] \vec{n} |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2} \partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \Bigg| \\ \le& J_{31} + \left| \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k}) \left[\left(u^0 \cdot \nabla \partial_x^\alpha u^b \right) \cdot \vec{n} \right] \vec{n} |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2} \partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \right| \\ \equiv& J_{31} + J_{32}. \end{aligned}$$

J_{31} can be estimated exactly as for J_1. To estimate J_{32}, noting that $u^b \cdot \vec{n} = 0$, so $\partial_x^\alpha u^b \cdot \vec{n} = -D_x^{m-1}(u^b)$, one can estimate J_{32} as follow

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{32}| =& | \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k}) (u^0 \cdot \nabla (\partial_x^\alpha u^b \cdot \vec{n}) |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2} (\partial_x^\alpha u^b \cdot \vec{n}) \\ & + u^0 \cdot \nabla \vec{n} \cdot u^b D_x^{m-1} u^b |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z | \\ \le& \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k}) (|u^0 \cdot \nabla D_x^{m-1} u^b |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2} (\partial_x^\alpha u^b \cdot \vec{n})| \\ & + |u^0 \cdot \nabla \vec{n} \cdot u^b D_x^{m-1} u^b |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2}|) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z, \end{aligned}$$

which can be handled as for J_1. Now, it is clear that J_2 can be treated as for J_1. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \le & C \left(\sum_{\beta\le\alpha,\beta>0} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} (||1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}} u^b||^p_{|\beta|,p} + ||(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}} u^b||^p_{m-|\beta|+1} \right) \\ & + C\|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}} \partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_p^p. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Next, one can estimate I_3 as

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| = & \left| - \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k}) z \left(\sum_{\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial_x^\beta f \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} \partial_z u^b \right) |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2} \partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \right| \\ \le & C \left| \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k}) z f \partial_z |\partial_x^\alpha u^c|^p \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \right| \\ & + \left| - \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k}) z \left(\sum_{0<\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial_x^\beta f \partial_z \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u^b \right) |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2} \partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \right| \\ := & I_{31} + I_{32}. \end{aligned}$$

By the regularity of f and integrating by parts for z, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{31}| \le & C \left| \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} z(1+z^{2k}) \partial_z |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^p \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \right| \\ \le & C \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+(2k+1)z^{2k}) |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^p \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \\ \le & C\|u^b\|^p_{k,m,0,p}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the regularity of $\partial_x^\beta f$, it follows from integration by parts in z and Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{32} =& | \sum_{0<\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} [(1+(2k+1)z^{2k}) \partial_x^\beta f \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u^b |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2} \partial_x^\alpha u^b \\ & + z(1+z^{2k}) \partial_x^\beta f \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u^b \partial_z (|\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2} \cdot \partial_x^\alpha u^b)] \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z| \\ \le & C \sum_{0<\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} (\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+(2k+1)z^{2k}) |\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u^b| |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-1} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \\ & + \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (z+z^{2k+1}) |\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u^b| |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_z u^b| \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z) \\ \le & C \sum_{0<\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} (\|u^b\|^p_{k,|\alpha-\beta|,0,p} + \|u^b\|^p_{k+\frac{p}{2},m-|\beta|,0,p}) + C\|u^b\|^p_{k,m,0,p} \\ & + \varepsilon \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k}) |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_z u^b|^2 |\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^{p-2} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, collecting all the estimates above and using (4.8), one has by choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{3}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{p}\frac{d}{dt}\|u^b\|^p_{k,m,0,p} \\
\le &C \sum_{0<\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} (\|u^b\|^p_{k,m-|\beta|,0,p} + \|u^b\|^p_{k+\frac{p}{2},m-|\beta|,0,p}) + C\|u^b\|^p_{k,m,0,p}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

which yields (4.2) for $s = m$ by the induction assumptions and Gronwall's Lemma. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.3. ☐

Remark 4.4. *In this proof, it is required that* $u_0 \in W^{s+3,p}(\Omega)$. *However, for* $p = 2$, *one can prove that if* $u_0 \in H^{m+1}(\Omega) \cap L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$ *then* $u^b \in L^\infty(0,T; H^{k,m,0})$. *In fact, for* $m \le 2$, *one can refer to the proof in [14]. However, if* $m > 2$, *the proof can be done by modifying the* H^2_x*-estimates in [14] or by modifying the following analysis in Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6.*

It seems difficult to get L^p-estimates of derivatives of the boundary layer profile in $(t,z)-$variable, but we can obtain the following estimates which improve the regularity with respect to (t,z)-variable in [14].

Lemma 4.5. *If* $u_0 \in H^{m+1}(\Omega) \cap L^2_\sigma(\Omega),\ \ m \ge 2$, *with* $u_0 \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, *then* $u^b \in L^\infty(0,T; H^{k,m,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+))$ *and* $\partial_t u^b \in L^2(0,T; H^{k,m,0}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+))$.

Proof. Apply ∂^α_x with $|\alpha| = m$ to (3.6) to get

$$\partial_t\partial^\alpha_x u^b - \partial^2_z\partial^\alpha_x u^b + \partial^\alpha_x(fz\partial_z u^b) + \partial^\alpha_x[(u^b\cdot\nabla u^0 + u^0\cdot\nabla u^b)\times\vec{n}] = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

Multiplying (4.14) by $(1+z^{2k})\partial_t\partial^\alpha_x u^b$ and integrating over $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = &\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k})|\partial_t\partial^\alpha_x u^b|^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z - \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k})\partial_t\partial^\alpha_x u^b \partial^2_z\partial^\alpha_x u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \\
&+ \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k})\partial_t\partial^\alpha_x u^b \partial^\alpha_x(fz\partial_z u^b)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \\
&+ \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k})\partial_t\partial^\alpha_x u^b \partial^\alpha_x[(u^b\cdot\nabla u^0 + u^0\cdot\nabla u^b)\times\vec{n}] \\
= &\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k})|\partial_t\partial^\alpha_x u^b|^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z + K_1 + K_2 + K_3.
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate K_1 first. In fact, integration by parts with respect to z yields

$$\begin{aligned}K_1 =&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_z u^b|^2\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\\&+\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}2kz^{2k-1}\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b\cdot\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z-\int_\Omega\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b(x,t,0)\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b(x,t,0)\mathrm{d}x\\\le&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_z u^b|^2\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z+C\|\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_{k,0,0}^2+\varepsilon\|\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_{k,0,0}^2\\&-\int_\Omega\partial_x^\alpha g\cdot\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b(x,t,0)\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

The last term on the right can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_\Omega\partial_x^\alpha g\cdot\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b(x,t,0)\mathrm{d}x=-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_\Omega\partial_x^\alpha g\partial_x^\alpha u^b|_{z=0}\mathrm{d}x+\int_\Omega\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha g\partial_x^\alpha u^b|_{z=0}\mathrm{d}x\\&=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}\partial_x^\alpha g\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z-\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha g\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\\&\le\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}\partial_x^\alpha g\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z+\|\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_{k,0,0}\|\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha g(1+z^{2k})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}K_1\le&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_z u^b|^2+\partial_x^\alpha g\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b\right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\\&+C\|\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_{k,0,0}^2+\varepsilon\|\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_{k,0,0}^2+\|\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha g(1+z^{2k})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+)}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

Next, we estimate K_2. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}K_2=&\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b\partial_x^\alpha(fz\partial_z u^b)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\\=&\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b z\sum_{\beta\le\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\partial_x^\beta f\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}\partial_z u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z.\end{aligned}$$

The terms on the right hand side above can be handled as follows.

For the case $\beta=0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b z\sum_{\beta\le\alpha}f\partial_x^\alpha\partial_z u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\right|\\\le&\|f\|_\infty\|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_2\|(1+z^{2(k+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_z\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_2\end{aligned}$$

For $|\beta| = 1$, one can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left| \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k})\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b z \sum_{\beta\le\alpha} \partial_x^\beta f \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}\partial_z u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \right| \\
\le& C\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k})z\|\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_2 \|\partial_x^\beta f\|_6 \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}\partial_z u^b\|_3 \mathrm{d}z \\
\le& C\sum_{\substack{\beta\le\alpha\\ |\beta|\ge p}} \|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_2 \|f\|_{2,2} \|(1+z^{2(k+2)})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_z\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}u^b\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_z\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}u^b\|_{1,2}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

here one has used the interpolation inequality $\|u\|_3 \le \|u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{1,2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

In the case that $|\beta| \ge 2$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left| \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k})\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b z \sum_{\beta\le\alpha} \partial_x^\beta f \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}\partial_z u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \right| \\
\le& C\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (1+z^{2k})z\|\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_2 \|\partial_x^\beta f\|_2 \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}\partial_z u^b\|_\infty \mathrm{d}z \\
\le& C\|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_2 \|f\|_{\beta,2} \|(1+z^{2(k+2)})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_z\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}u^b\|_{1,2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_z\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta}u^b\|_{2,2}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where one has used the interpolation inequality $\|u\|_\infty \le \|u\|_{1,2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{2,2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Therefore,

$$
K_2 \le C(\|u^b\|_{k+1,m,1}^2 + \|u^b\|_{k+2,m-1,1}^2 + \|u^b\|_{k,m,1}^2) + \varepsilon\|\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_{k,0,0}^2. \tag{4.16}
$$

Using the similar argument as above and the proof of I_2 in Proposition 4.3, we can obtain that

$$
K_3 \le C(\|u^b\|_{k,m-1,1}^2 + \|u^b\|_{k,m,1}^2) + \varepsilon\|\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b\|_{k,0,0}^2. \tag{4.17}
$$

Due to Remark 4.4, it holds that $\|u^b\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{k,m,1}(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+)}^2 \le C$. It follows from the Gronwall's lemma, Hölder inequality and the choice of $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{6}$ that

$$
\|\partial_t u^b\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{k,m,0}(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+))} \le C, \ \ \|u^b\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^{k,m,1}(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+))} \le C.
$$

The proof is completed. □

In fact, the regularity of $\partial_t u^b$ can be improved further, if the initial data is regular enough.

Lemma 4.6. *If* $u_0 \in H^{m+2}(\Omega) \cap L^2_\sigma(\Omega), m \geq 2$ *with* $u_0 \cdot \vec{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, *then it holds that*

$$\partial_t u^b \in L^\infty(0,T; H^{k,m,0}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)) \cap L^2(0,T; H^{k,m,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)). \tag{4.18}$$

Consequently,

$$u^b \in L^\infty(0,T; H^{k,m,2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)) \cap L^2(0,T; H^{k,m,3}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)). \tag{4.19}$$

Proof. It follows from (3.6) that

$$\partial_t(\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b) - \partial_z^2(\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b) + \partial_t\partial_x^\alpha(fz\partial_z u^b) + \partial_t\partial_x^\alpha[(u^0 \cdot \nabla u^b + u^b \cdot \nabla u^0) \times \vec{n}] = 0. \tag{4.20}$$

Multiply (4.20) by $(1+z^{2k})\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b$ and integrate over $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b|^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z - \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_z^2(\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b)\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \\
&+ \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha(fz\partial_z u^b)\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \\
&+ \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha[(u^0 \cdot \nabla u^b + u^b \cdot \nabla u^0) \times \vec{n}]\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

We treat the case $|\alpha| = 0$ first. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_z^2(\partial_t u^b)\partial_t u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z = \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_z\partial_t u^b|^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \\
&\quad + 2k\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+} z^{2k-1}\partial_z\partial_t u^b \partial_t u^b \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z - \int_\Omega \partial_t\partial_z u^b \partial_t u^b|_{z=0}\mathrm{d}x \\
&\geq \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_z\partial_t u^b|^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z - C||(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_z\partial_t u^b||_2||(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t u^b||_2 \\
&\quad - \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}|\partial_t g \partial_t\partial_z u^b| \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z \\
&\geq \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_z\partial_t u^b|^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z - C||(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_z\partial_t u^b||_2 \cdot ||(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t u^b||_2 \\
&\quad - C||(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_z\partial_t u^b||_2||(1+z^{2k})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t g||_2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_t(fz\partial_z u^b)\partial_t u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\right|\\
\leq&\left|\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_t fz\partial_z u^b\partial_t u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\right|\\
&+\left|\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})fz\partial_z\partial_t u^b\partial_t u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\right|\\
\leq&C\|\partial_t f\|_{L^\infty}\|(1+z^{2k+2})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t u^b\|_2\|(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_z u^b\|_2\\
&+C\|f\|_{L^\infty}\|(1+z^{2k+2})^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t u^b\|_2\|\partial_t\partial_z u^b(1+z^{2k})^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_2\\
\leq&C\|\partial_t u^b\|^2_{k+1,0,0}+\|u^b\|^2_{k,0,1}+\varepsilon\|\partial_t\partial_z u^b\|^2_{k,0,0}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, one can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\partial_t[(u^0\cdot\nabla u^b+u^b\cdot\nabla u^0)\times\vec{n}]\partial_t u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\right|\\
=&\left|\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})\left[(\partial_t u^0\cdot\nabla u^b+u^0\cdot\nabla\partial_t u^b+\partial_t u^b\cdot\nabla u^0+u^b\nabla\partial_t u^0)\times\vec{n}\right]\partial_t u^b\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\right|\\
\leq&C(\|\partial_t u^c\|^2_{k,2,0}+\|u^c\|^2_{k,1,0}).
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from these, the Gronwall's Lemma and Lemma 4.5 that

$$
\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|\partial_t u^b(t)\|^2_{k,0,0}+\int_0^T\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+}(1+z^{2k})|\partial_t\partial_z u^b|^2\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}t\leq C+\lim_{t\to 0}\|\partial_t u^b(t)\|^2_{k,0,0}. \tag{4.22}
$$

By induction, as in argument for Proposition 4.3, we can obtain

$$
\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|\partial_t u^b(t)\|^2_{k,m,0}+\|\partial_t u^b|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^{k,m,1}(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+))}\leq C+\lim_{t\to 0}\|\partial_t u^b(t)\|^2_{k,m,0}. \tag{4.23}
$$

Since $u^b(x,0,z)=0$, thus $\partial_x^\alpha\partial_z^j u^b(x,0,z)=0$, for all α, j. Therefore, taking limit in both sides of (4.22)-(4.23) as $t\to 0$, we can get $\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha u^b(x,t,z)\to 0$ in a.e. $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+$ as $t\to 0$. Therefore, the last terms on the right side of (4.22) and (4.23) vanish. This proves (4.18).

To prove (4.19), one uses (3.16) again, (4.18) and Lemma 4.5 to get

$$
u^b\in L^\infty(0,T;H^{k,m,2}(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+)). \tag{4.24}
$$

Similarly, differentiating (3.6) in z, we can then use (4.18), (4.24) and Lemma 4.5 to obtain the second part of (4.19). Thus Lemma 4.6 is proved. □

5 L^p-uniform bound of the remainder R^ν for $3 < p \le 6$

In this section, we give the L^p-estimates of the remainder R^ν in (3.1). Note that the remainder R^ν satisfies the following equation ([12]):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t R^\nu - \nu \triangle R^\nu + u^\nu \cdot \nabla R^\nu + R^\nu \cdot \nabla u^0 + \sqrt{\nu} R^\nu \cdot \vec{n}\partial_z v + R^\nu \cdot \vec{n}\partial_z u^b + \sqrt{\nu} R^\nu \cdot \nabla_x u^b \\
=& - \partial_t v + \triangle u^0 + \sqrt{\nu}\triangle_x u^b + 2\vec{n}\cdot\nabla_x\partial_z u^b + \nu\triangle_x[v(x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}})] - u^\nu\cdot\nabla_x v - v\cdot\nabla u^0 \\
&- \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} u^0 \cdot \vec{n}\partial_z v - \sqrt{\nu} v\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z v - v\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z u^b - u^b\cdot\nabla_x u^b + \triangle\varphi\cdot\partial_z u^b - \sqrt{\nu} v\cdot\nabla_x u^b \\
&+ \nabla_x q + \nabla_x \kappa := R.H.S. \qquad \text{in } \ \Omega, \\
&\mathrm{div} R^\nu = -\mathrm{div}_x v(t, x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}) \qquad \text{in } \ \Omega,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

with the boundary conditions:

$$R^\nu \cdot \vec{n}(x) + v(t, x, 0)\cdot\vec{n}(x) = 0, \qquad \text{for } \ x \in \partial\Omega, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\mathrm{curl}\, R^\nu \times \vec{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}\mathrm{curl}_x u^b(t, x, 0)\times\vec{n} + \mathrm{curl}_x v(t, x, 0)\times\vec{n} = 0 \text{ for } \ x \in \partial\Omega. \tag{5.3}$$

The initial data for R^ν is

$$R^\nu(0, x) = 0, \qquad \text{for } \ x \in \Omega \qquad . \tag{5.4}$$

Set

$$b(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} u^b(t, x, 0) + v(t, x, 0).$$

In the sequel, we need the following anisotropic Sobolev embedding result whose proof is given in [12].

Lemma 5.1. *Let $U(x, z)$ be a sufficiently regular function defined on $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+$. Assume that either $2 \le p < \infty$, $m \ge \frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{p}$ or $p = \infty$, $m > \frac{3}{2}$. Then*

$$\|U(x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}})\|_p \le C\|U\|_{1,m,1}. \tag{5.5}$$

We now begin to derive the L^p-estimate of R^ν. To this end , we need the following Weyl decomposition of the space $L^p(\Omega)$:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $p \geq 2$. Set $G_p(\Omega) = \{u \in L^p(\Omega) : u = \nabla q, q \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)\}$ and $J_p(\Omega) = \{u \in L^p(\Omega) : \mathrm{div} u = 0$ in Ω, $u \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega\}$. Then*

$$L^p(\Omega) = G_p(\Omega) \bigoplus J_p(\Omega)$$

and the projections of an arbitrary vector field $u(x)$ to the above subspaces are defined respectively by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_G u &= -\nabla \int_\Omega \nabla_y N(x,y) \cdot u(y) dy \\ \mathbb{P}_J u &= u + \nabla \int_\Omega \nabla_y N(x,y) \cdot u(y) dy. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

with the following estimates:

$$\|\mathbb{P}_G u\|_{l,p} + \|\mathbb{P}_J u\|_{l,p} \leq C\|u\|_{l,p}$$

where $l < r$ if $\partial\Omega \in C^{r+1}$ and $u \in W^{l,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. See [28] ☐

For simplicity, we set $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_J$ and decompose $R^\nu = \mathbb{P}R^\nu + (I - \mathbb{P})R^\nu$. It can be shown easily that $(I - \mathbb{P})R^\nu$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ independent of ν.

Lemma 5.3. *$(I - \mathbb{P})R^\nu$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0,T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$ for $3 < p \leq 6$, that is,*

$$\|(I - \mathbb{P})R^\nu\|_{1,p} \leq C\|u^b\|_{1,3,0}.$$

Proof. This conclusion follows easily by using the standard L^p estimates for elliptic equations, or refer to [14]. ☐

The main part of this section is to bound $\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p$ independent of ν. Indeed, we have

Lemma 5.4. *Let $3 < p \leq 6$. There exists a positive constant $\nu_0 \in (0,1)$ such that for all $\nu \in (0, \nu_0]$, it holds that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C_0\nu \int_0^T \|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_2^2 dt \leq C \tag{5.8}$$

with positive constants C_0 and C independent of ν.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Lemma 5.4. In order to avoid estimating the unknown pressure term $\nabla_x k$, one needs to take inner product of (5.1) with $\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)$. To simplify the computation, due to Lemma 5.2, we rewrite $\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)$ as

$$\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu) = |\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu + \nabla Q \tag{5.9}$$

with $Q = \int_\Omega \nabla_y N(x,y)\cdot|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu dy$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \|\nabla Q\|_s \le C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_{(p-1)s}^{(p-1)}, \qquad 1<s<\infty, \\ \|\nabla^2 Q\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \le C\|\nabla\left(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right)\|_2\cdot\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^{\frac{p-2}{2}}, \\ \dfrac{\partial Q}{\partial\vec{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

It follows from (5.1), (5.9)-(5.10) that

$$\frac{1}{p}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p - \nu\int_\Omega \triangle R^\nu\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu+\nabla Q)\mathrm{d}x = \sum_{k=1}^{19} B_k, \tag{5.11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_1 = -\int_\Omega u^\nu\cdot\nabla R^\nu\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x, && B_2 = -\int_\Omega R^\nu\cdot\nabla u^0\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x,\\
&B_3 = -\sqrt{\nu}\int_\Omega R^\nu\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z v\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x, && B_4 = -\int_\Omega R^\nu\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z u^b\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x,\\
&B_5 = -\sqrt{\nu}\int_\Omega R^\nu\cdot\nabla_x u^b\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x, && B_6 = -\int_\Omega \partial_t v\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x,\\
&B_7 = \int_\Omega \triangle u^0\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x, && B_8 = \sqrt{\nu}\int_\Omega \triangle_x u^b\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x,\\
&B_9 = \int_\Omega 2\vec{n}\cdot\nabla_x\partial_z u^b\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x, && B_{10} = \nu\int_\Omega \triangle_x[v(x,\tfrac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}})]\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x,\\
&B_{11} = -\int_\Omega u^\nu\cdot\nabla_x v\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x, && B_{12} = -\int_\Omega v\cdot\nabla u^0\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x,\\
&B_{13} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}\int_\Omega u^0\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z v\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x, && B_{14} = -\sqrt{\nu}\int_\Omega v\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z v\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x,\\
&B_{15} = -\int_\Omega v\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z u^b\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x, && B_{16} = -\int_\Omega u^b\cdot\nabla_x u^b\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x,\\
&B_{17} = \int_\Omega \triangle\varphi\cdot\partial_z u^b\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x, && B_{18} = -\sqrt{\nu}\int_\Omega v\cdot\nabla_x u^b\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x,\\
&B_{19} = \int_\Omega (\nabla_x q)\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu+\nabla Q)\mathrm{d}x.
\end{aligned}$$

We need to estimate each term in (5.11). We first deal with the term involving Laplacian:

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\nu\int_\Omega \triangle R^\nu\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu+\nabla Q)\mathrm{d}x\\
=&-\nu\int_\Omega \triangle(R^\nu)|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu\mathrm{d}x\\
&-\nu\int_\Omega \triangle(\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\nabla Q\mathrm{d}x-\nu\int_\Omega \triangle((I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu)\nabla Q\mathrm{d}x\\
:=&d_1+d_2+d_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1=&\nu\int_\Omega(\nabla(R^\nu):\nabla(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu))\mathrm{d}x-\nu\int_{\partial\Omega}(\nabla R^\nu\cdot\vec{n})\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}\sigma\\
\equiv&d_{11}+d_{12}
\end{aligned}\tag{5.12}$$

Note that $|\nabla u|\geq|\nabla|u||$ for any vector u. Thus it is easy to derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{11}=&\nu\int_\Omega(\nabla(\mathbb{P}R^\nu):\nabla(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu))\mathrm{d}x\\
&+\nu\int_\Omega(\nabla((I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu):\nabla(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu))\mathrm{d}x\\
=&\nu\int_\Omega|\nabla(\mathbb{P}R^\nu)|^2|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathrm{d}x+(p-2)\nu\int_\Omega(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}-1}|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|)^2\mathrm{d}x\\
&-\nu\int_\Omega(\nabla((I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu):\nabla(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu))\mathrm{d}x\\
\geq&\frac{1}{2}\nu\int_\Omega|\nabla(\mathbb{P}R^\nu)|^2|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathrm{d}x+\frac{(p-2)4}{p^2}\nu\int_\Omega|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2dx\\
&-C\nu\int_\Omega|\nabla(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu|^2|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}dx-C\nu\int_\Omega|\nabla(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||\nabla(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2})|\mathrm{d}x\\
\geq&\frac{1}{2}\nu\int|\nabla(\mathbb{P}R^\nu)|^2|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}dx+\frac{2(p-2)}{p^2}\nu\int_\Omega|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2\mathrm{d}x\\
&-C\nu\int_\Omega|\nabla((I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu)|^2|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathrm{d}x\\
\geq&\frac{2(p-1)}{p^2}\nu\int|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2dx-C\|\nabla((I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu)\|_p^p-C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.13}$$

Next, we handle the boundary term d_{12}. Note that due to (5.2), one has

$$\begin{aligned}|d_{12}| =&\left|-\nu\int_{\partial\Omega}(\nabla R^\nu\cdot\vec{n})\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}\sigma\right|\\ =&\left|-\nu\int_{\partial\Omega}((\nabla(R^\nu+v))\cdot\vec{n})\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}\sigma+\nu\int_{\partial\Omega}(\nabla v\cdot\vec{n})\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}\sigma\right|\\ \leq&\nu\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}((\nabla(R^\nu+v))\cdot\vec{n})\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}\sigma\right|+C\nu||\nabla v||_{p,\partial\Omega}||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||_{p,\partial\Omega}^{p-1}\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Since $(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\cdot\vec{n}|_{\partial\Omega}=0$ and $(R^\nu+v)\cdot\vec{n}|_{\partial\Omega}=0$ due to (5.2), then it can be verified that

$$\begin{aligned}&\nu\int_{\partial\Omega}((\nabla(R^\nu+v))\cdot\vec{n})\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}\sigma\\ =&\nu\int_{\partial\Omega}(\nabla\times(R^\nu+v)\times\vec{n})\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}\sigma-\nu\int_{\partial\Omega}((D_x(\vec{n})(R^\nu+v)))\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-1}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}\sigma\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Note that it follows from the boundary condition (5.3) that

$$(\nabla\times(R^\nu+v))\times\vec{n}=-(\nabla\times b)\times\vec{n}+(\nabla_x\times v)\times\vec{n}.$$

This and (5.15) show that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\nu\int_{\partial\Omega}((\nabla(R^\nu+v))\cdot\vec{n})\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)d\sigma\right|\\ =&\Bigg|\nu\int_{\partial\Omega}(-(\nabla\times b)\times\vec{n})\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)d\sigma+\nu\int_{\partial\Omega}((\nabla_x\times v)\times\vec{n})\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)d\sigma\\ &-\nu\int_{\partial\Omega}(D_x(\vec{n})(R^\nu+v))\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)d\sigma\Bigg|\\ \leq&\nu C\left((||b||_{1,p,\partial\Omega}+||v||_{1,p,\partial\Omega})||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||_{p,\partial\Omega}^{p-1}+||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||_{p,\partial\Omega}^{p}+||(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu||_{p,\partial\Omega}||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||_{p,\partial\Omega}^{p-1}\right)\end{aligned}$$

This and (5.14) yield

$$|d_{12}|\leq\nu C(||b||_{1,p,\partial\Omega}+||v(t,\cdot,0)||_{1,p,\partial\Omega})\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_{p,\partial\Omega}^{p-1}+C\nu\|(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu\|_p\|PR^\nu\|_{p,\partial\Omega}^{p-1}.$$

Note that $||b||_{1,p,\partial\Omega}\leq\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}C$ and $||v(t,\cdot,0)||_{1,p,\partial\Omega}\leq C$. One can obtain from Lemma 2.1, Lemma 5.3 and Young's inequality that

$$|d_{12}|\leq\varepsilon\nu||\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}||_2^2+C||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||_p^p+C. \tag{5.16}$$

Next, due to the formula $\Delta u = -\nabla \times (\nabla \times u) + \nabla(\mathrm{div} u)$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_2 + d_3 = & -\int_\Omega \Delta(\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\nabla Q \mathrm{d}x - \nu \int_\Omega \Delta((I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu)\nabla Q \mathrm{d}x \\
= & -\int_{\partial\Omega} ((\nabla \times (\mathbb{P}R^\nu)) \times \vec{n})\nabla Q \mathrm{d}x + \nu \int_\Omega \mathrm{div}((I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu)\Delta Q \mathrm{d}x
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.17}
$$

where one has used the fact that $\nabla Q \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Note that $(\nabla \times (\mathbb{P}R^\nu)) \times \vec{n} = (\nabla \times R^\nu) \times \vec{n}$. It thus follows from a similar argument for (5.16) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left| -\int_{\partial\Omega} ((\nabla \times (\mathbb{P}R^\nu)) \times \vec{n}) \cdot \nabla Q \mathrm{d}x \right| \\
\leq & C\nu(||b||_{1;p,\partial\Omega} + ||v||_{1,p,\partial\Omega} + ||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||_{p,\partial\Omega} + ||(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu||_{p,\partial\Omega})||\nabla Q||_{\frac{p}{p-1},\partial\Omega} \\
\leq & C\nu(||b||_{1,p,\partial\Omega} + ||v||_{1,p,\partial\Omega} + ||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||_{p,\partial\Omega} + ||(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu||_{p,\partial\Omega})||\nabla(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}})||_{L^2}||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||_p^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \\
\leq & C||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||_p^p + \varepsilon\nu||\nabla(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}})||_2^2 + C,
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.18}
$$

where one has used (5.7), (5.10), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left| \nu \int_\Omega \mathrm{div}((I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu)\Delta Q \mathrm{d}x \right| \leq & \nu|(\mathrm{div}(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu)||_p||\Delta Q||_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \\
\leq & C||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||_p^p + \varepsilon\nu||\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}||_2^2
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.19}
$$

where (5.10) and (5.7) have been used. Thus it holds that

$$
|d_2 + d_3| \leq C||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||_p^p + \varepsilon\nu|||\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}||_2^2 + C. \tag{5.20}
$$

Now we turn to the estimates of B_i $(1 \leq i \leq 18)$.

Estimate of B_1: We first rewrite B_1 as

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_1 = & -\int_\Omega ((u^\nu - u^0)\nabla R^\nu) \cdot (|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu + \nabla Q)\mathrm{d}x \\
& -\int_\Omega (u^0 \nabla R^\nu) \cdot (|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu + \nabla Q)\mathrm{d}x \\
\equiv & B_{11} + B_{12}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it follows from integration by parts many times and the boundary condition $u^0 \cdot \vec{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = \mathbb{P}R^\nu \cdot \vec{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{12}| =& \left|-\int_\Omega u^0 \cdot \nabla(\mathbb{P}R^\nu + (I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu) \cdot (||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu + \nabla Q)\mathrm{d}x\right| \\
=& \Big| -\int_\Omega u^0 \nabla\left(\frac{1}{p}|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^p\right)\mathrm{d}x - \int_\Omega (u^0\nabla\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\nabla Q\mathrm{d}x \\
& - \int_\Omega (u^0\nabla(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu) \cdot (|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu + \nabla Q)dx\Big| \\
\leq& \left|\int_\Omega (\mathbb{P}R^\nu \cdot \nabla u^0)\nabla Q\mathrm{d}x\right| + \left|\int_\Omega (u^0\nabla(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu) \cdot (|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu + \nabla Q)dx\right| \\
\leq& C||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||_p||\nabla Q||_{\frac{p}{p-1}} + C||\nabla(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu||_p\left(||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||_p^{p-1} + ||\nabla Q||_{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right) \\
\leq& C + ||\mathbb{P}R^\nu||_p^p
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

where one has used the regularity of u^0, (5.10) and Lemma 5.3. Next we estimate B_{11}. Rewrite B_{11} as

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{11}| \leq& \left|\int_\Omega ((u^\nu - u^0)\nabla R^\nu) \cdot |\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu\mathrm{d}x\right| + \left|\int_\Omega ((u^\nu - u^0)\nabla R^\nu)\nabla Q\mathrm{d}x\right| \\
\equiv& B_{111} + B_{112}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{111} =& \left|\int_\Omega ((u^\nu - u^0)\nabla(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu)|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu\mathrm{d}x\right| \\
\leq& (\sqrt{\nu}\|u^b\|_\infty + \nu\|v\|_\infty)\|(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu\|_{1,p}\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^{p-1} \\
& + \nu\int_\Omega |\nabla(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu|\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|^p\mathrm{d}x + \nu\int_\Omega |(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu||\nabla(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu||\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-1}\mathrm{d}x \\
\leq& C + \nu\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|^p_{\frac{p^2}{p-1}} + C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p \\
\leq& \nu\|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}}\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^{\frac{2p-3}{2}} + \|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C
\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

Similarly, the term B_{112} can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{112} \leq & \left|\int_\Omega \operatorname{div}((u^\nu - u^0)\otimes \nabla \mathbb{P}R^\nu)\cdot \nabla Q \mathrm{d}x\right| \\
& + \left|\int_\Omega (\sqrt{\nu}u^b + \nu v + \nu R^\nu)\cdot \nabla (I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu \cdot \nabla Q \mathrm{d}x\right| \\
\leq & \left|\int_\Omega (\sqrt{\nu}u^b + \nu v + \nu R^\nu)\otimes \mathbb{P}R^\nu : \nabla^2 Q \mathrm{d}x\right| + (\sqrt{\nu}\|u^b\|_\infty + \nu\|v\|_\infty)\|(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu\|_{1,p}\|\nabla Q\|_{p'} \\
& + \nu|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|_{\frac{p^2}{p-1}}\|(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu\|_{1,p}\|\nabla Q\|_{\frac{p^2}{(p-1)^2}} + \nu\|(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu\|_\infty\|\nabla (I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu\|_p\|\nabla Q\|_{p'} \\
\leq & C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + \varepsilon\nu\|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_2^2 + C\nu\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|^{p\frac{p-1}{p-3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain from (5.21)-(5.23) that

$$B_1 \leq C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C\nu\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^{p\frac{p-1}{p-3}} + C + \varepsilon\nu\|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_2^2. \tag{5.24}$$

Estimate of B_2+B_4:

Due to the regularity of u^0 and the uniform bound for $\partial_z u^b$, one can get from the estimate (5.10) that

$$|B_2 + B_4| \leq \|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C. \tag{5.25}$$

Estimate of $B_3 + B_5$:

It follows from the construction of v in [12] that

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_3 + B_5| \leq & C\sqrt{\nu}\int_\Omega |R^\nu||\nabla_x u^b||\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)|\mathrm{d}x \\
\leq & C\sqrt{\nu}\int_\Omega |\mathbb{P}R^\nu||\nabla_x u^b||\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)|\mathrm{d}x \\
& + C\sqrt{\nu}\int_\Omega |(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu||\nabla_x u^b||\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)|\mathrm{d}x \\
\equiv & J_1 + J_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

Note that for $p > 3$, $\|\nabla_x u^b\|_{2p} \leq C\|u^b\|_{1,3,1}$ and

$$\|(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu\|_{2p} \leq C\|(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu\|_{1,p} \leq C,$$

due to (5.7) in Lemma 5.3. Thus, one can derive from this and (5.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 \leq & C\sqrt{\nu}\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_{2p}\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^{p-1} \\
\leq & C\sqrt{\nu}\|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_2^{\frac{2}{p}}\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^{p-1} \\
\leq & C\nu^{\frac{p}{2}}\|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_2^2 + C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 \le & C\sqrt{\nu}\|(I-\mathbb{P})R^\nu\|_{2p}\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^{p-1} \\ \le & C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C\nu^{\frac{p}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (5.26) yields that

$$|B_3+B_5| \le C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C\nu^{\frac{p}{2}}\|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_2^2 + C\nu^{\frac{p}{2}}. \tag{5.27}$$

Estimate of B_6:

Due to the construction of $v(t,x,z) = -\vec{n}\int_z^{+\infty}\mathrm{div}_x u^b \mathrm{d}z$, one can rewrite B_6 as

$$\begin{aligned} |B_6| = & \left|\int_\Omega \int_{\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}} \left(\partial_t \mathrm{div}_x u^b(t,x,z)\mathrm{d}z\right)\vec{n}\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x\right| \\ \le & \left|\int_\Omega \int_{\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}}^\infty \mathrm{div}_x\{[u^b\cdot\nabla u^0 + u^0\cdot\nabla_x u^b]_{tan}\}\mathrm{d}z\vec{n}\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x\right| \\ & + \left|\int_\Omega \int_{\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}}^\infty \mathrm{div}_x[fz\cdot\partial_z u^b]\mathrm{d}z\vec{n}\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x\right| \\ & + \left|\int_\Omega \int_{\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}}^\infty \mathrm{div}_x\partial_z^2 u^b\mathrm{d}z\vec{n}\cdot\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\mathrm{d}x\right| \\ \equiv & B_{61}+B_{62}+B_{63}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

where one has used (3.6). Due to the regularity estimates of u^b and u^0 and Lemma 5.2, one has

$$B_{61} \le C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C\|u^b\|_{1,3,0}^p. \tag{5.29}$$

Similarly, the regularity of f and $\partial_x\partial_z u^b$ yield

$$B_{62} \le C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C\|u^b\|_{1,2,1}^p. \tag{5.30}$$

Finally,

$$B_{63} \le C\|\mathrm{div}_x\partial_z u^b|_{z=\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}}\|_p\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^{p-1} \le ||\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C\|u^b\|_{1,1,1}^p. \tag{5.31}$$

Consequently, one has

$$|B_6| \le C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C. \tag{5.32}$$

Similar analysis yields that

$$|B_7+B_8+B_9| \le C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C\sqrt{\nu}\|u^b\|_{1,2,0}^p + \|u^b\|_{1,2,1}^p + C. \tag{5.33}$$

Estimate of B_{10}:

By integration by parts, one can rewrite $|B_{10}|$ as

$$\begin{aligned}|B_{10}|\leq&\left|\nu\int_\Omega\nabla_x\left[v\left(x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right)\right]:(\nabla(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)+\nabla^2Q)\mathrm{d}x\right|\\&+\nu\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}\partial_n\left(v\left(t,x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right)\right)\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu+\nabla Q)\mathrm{d}\sigma\right|\\\equiv&B_{101}+B_{102}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.34}$$

It follows from the regularity estimates of v, Lemma 5.1 and its analysis, Young's inequality, and (5.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}|B_{101}|\leq&C\nu\int_\Omega\left|\nabla_x\left[v\left(x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right)\right]\right|^2|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathrm{d}x+C\nu\int_\Omega|\nabla\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^2|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathrm{d}x\\&+\nu\left\|\nabla\left[v\left(x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right)\right]\right\|_p\|\nabla^2Q\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}}\\\leq&C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p+\varepsilon\nu\|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_2^2+C.\end{aligned}\tag{5.35}$$

Due to the construction of $v(t,x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}})=\bar{v}\vec{n}$ with $\bar{v}$ being a scalar function given by $\bar{v}(t,x,z)=-\int_z^\infty\mathrm{div}_xu^b(t,x,z)\mathrm{d}y$, one has $\partial_nv=\partial_n\bar{v}\vec{n}+\bar{v}\partial_n\vec{n}$. Since $\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu)\cdot\vec{n}=0$ on $\partial\Omega$, so

$$\begin{aligned}B_{102}=&\nu\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}(\bar{v}(x,0)\partial_n\vec{n})\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu+\nabla Q)\mathrm{d}\sigma\right|\\=&\nu\left|\int_\Omega\mathrm{div}[(\bar{v}(x,0)\partial_n\vec{n}\cdot(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu+\nabla Q))\vec{n}]\mathrm{d}x\right|\\\leq&\nu\int_\Omega|\nabla[\bar{v}(x,0)\partial_n\vec{n}\cdot\vec{n}]||(\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu+\nabla Q)|\mathrm{d}x\\&+\nu\int_\Omega|\bar{v}(x,0)\partial_n\vec{n}||\vec{n}||\nabla(|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu+\nabla Q)|\mathrm{d}x\\\leq&C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p+\varepsilon\nu\|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_2^2+C.\end{aligned}\tag{5.36}$$

Therefore,

$$|B_{10}|\leq C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p+\varepsilon\nu\|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_2^2+C.\tag{5.37}$$

Estimate of B_{11}:

It follows from the regularity estimates for u^0 and u^b and (5.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{11}| \leq & \left| \int_\Omega (u^0 + \sqrt{\nu} u^b + \nu v + \nu R^\nu) \cdot \nabla_x v \cdot (|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu + \nabla Q) \mathrm{d}x \right| \\
\leq & C(\|u^0\|_\infty \|\nabla_x v\|_p + \sqrt{\nu}\|u^b\|_{2p}\|\nabla_x v\|_{2p} + \nu\|v\|_{2p}\|\nabla_x v\|_{2p})(\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^{p-1} + \|\nabla Q\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}}) \\
& + \nu\|R^\nu\|_{2p}\|\nabla_x v\|_{2p}(\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^{p-1} + \|\nabla Q\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}}) \\
\leq & C(\|u^0\|_\infty + \|u^b\|_{1,3,0} + \sqrt{\nu}\|u^b\|_{1,3,1} + \|u^b\|_{1,2,0,2p})^p \\
& + \nu\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_{2p}\|u^b\|_{1,2,0,2p}\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^{p-1} + C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p \\
& \leq \varepsilon\nu\|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_2^2 + C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

Estimate of $B_{12} + \sum_{i=14}^{18} B_i$:

Applying similar analysis and using the bounds on u^b, $\partial_z u^b$, u^0 and v, we can get

$$\left| B_{12} + \sum_{i=14}^{18} B_i \right| \leq C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C. \tag{5.39}$$

Estimate of B_{13}:

It follows from the definition of v, (4.1) and (5.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{13}| = & \left| -\frac{1}{\nu} \int_\Omega (u^0 \cdot \vec{n}) \mathrm{div}_x u^b \vec{n} \cdot (|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu + \nabla Q) \mathrm{d}x \right| \\
= & \left| \int_\Omega \frac{u^0 \cdot \vec{n}}{\varphi} (z \mathrm{div}_x u^b) \Big|_{z=\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{\nu}}} \vec{n} \cdot (|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{p-2}\mathbb{P}R^\nu + \nabla Q) \mathrm{d}x \right| \\
\leq & C\|(1+z)\mathrm{div}_x u^b|_{z=\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}}\|_p \cdot \|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^{p-1} \\
\leq & C\nu^{\frac{1}{2p}}\|u^b\|_{1,2,0,p}\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^{p-1} \\
\leq & C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of B_{19}:

Finally, we estimate B_{19}. Since

$$\nabla_x q = -\int_z^\infty ((\nabla_x u^b(z)) \cdot \nabla u^0 + u^0 \cdot (\nabla_x^2 u^b(z))) \cdot \vec{n} + (u^b \cdot \nabla u^0 + u^0 \cdot \nabla_x u^b) \cdot \nabla_x \vec{n} \mathrm{d}z.$$

Then

$$\|\nabla_x q\|_p \leq C\|u^b\|_{L_z^1(R_+, W^{2,p}(\Omega))} \leq C\|u^b\|_{1,3,1}.$$

Therefore

$$B_{19} \leq C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C_6. \tag{5.21}$$

Collecting (5.12), (5.13), (5.16), (5.20) and all the estimates on $B_i(i = 1, \cdots, 19)$, one deduces from (5.11) that, for suitably small ε, it holds that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + c_0\nu\|\nabla|\mathbb{P}R^\nu|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_2^2 \leq C\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^p + C + \nu\|\mathbb{P}R^\nu\|_p^{p\frac{p-1}{p-3}}. \tag{5.22}$$

Since $\frac{p-1}{p-3} > 1$, so part (c) of Gronwall's Lemma implies that there exists a small $0 < \nu_0 < 1$ such that for all $0 < \nu \leq \nu_0$, the desired estimate (5.8) in Lemma 5.4 holds. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.4.

6 H^1-estimates of the remainder R^ν

In this section, we will derive the H^1-estimates for the remainder R^ν. To this end, we need to apply Lemma 2.4 to handle the boundary terms in the L^2-estimate of the vorticity. However, it is noted that R^ν does not satisfy the conditions in Lemma 2.4. To overcome this difficulty, we set

$$R(t,x) = R^\nu(t,x) + b(t,x) \tag{6.1}$$

where $b(t,x)$ is defined in Section 5. It then follows from (5.1)-(5.4) that $R(t,x)$ solves the following system

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t R - \nu\triangle R + u^\nu\cdot\nabla R + R\cdot\nabla u^0 + \sqrt{\nu}R\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z v + R\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z u^b + \sqrt{\nu}R\cdot\nabla_x u^b \\
=&R.H.S. + \partial_t b - \nu\triangle b + u^\nu\cdot\nabla b + b\cdot\nabla u^0 + \sqrt{\nu}b\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z v + b\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z u^b + \sqrt{\nu}b\cdot\nabla_x u^b,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

$$\mathrm{div}R(t,x) = -\mathrm{div}_x v\left(t, x, \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right) + \mathrm{div}b(t,x),$$

with boundary and initial conditions as

$$R\cdot\vec{n} = 0, \qquad (\mathrm{curl}R)\times\vec{n} = 0, \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \tag{6.3}$$

$$R(0,x) = 0, \qquad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{6.4}$$

Here R.H.S. is defined in (5.1).

It follows from the analysis in Section 5 and in [12] that

$$||R^\nu||_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} \leq C, \qquad 3 < p \leq 6, \tag{6.5}$$

$$||b||_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1)} \leq C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{6.6}$$

$$||R||_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + ||\mathrm{div}\, R||_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6.7}$$

It follows from (6.3), (6.5)-(6.8), and Lemma 2.2 that

$$||R||_{1,2} \leq ||\nabla\times R||_2 + C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{6.8}$$

Therefore, it suffices to estimate $||\nabla\times R||_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$. Set $w=\nabla\times R$. Then (6.2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t\omega-\nu\Delta\omega+\mathrm{curl}(u^\nu\cdot\nabla R)+\mathrm{curl}(R\cdot\nabla u^0)+\\&\sqrt{\nu}\mathrm{curl}(R\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z v)+\mathrm{curl}(R\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z u^b)+\sqrt{\nu}\mathrm{curl}(R\cdot\nabla_x u^b)\\=&\mathrm{curl}R.H.S+\mathrm{curl}\partial_t b-\nu\Delta\mathrm{curl}b+\mathrm{curl}(u^\nu\cdot\nabla b)+\mathrm{curl}(b\cdot\nabla u^0)\\&+\sqrt{\nu}\mathrm{curl}(b\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z v)+\mathrm{curl}(b\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z u^b)+\sqrt{\nu}\mathrm{curl}(b\cdot\nabla u^b).\end{aligned}\tag{6.9}$$

Multiply (6.9) by ω and integrate on Ω to get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\omega\|^2-\nu\int_\Omega\Delta\omega\cdot\omega\mathrm{d}x=\int_\Omega\mathrm{curl}(R.H.S.)\cdot\omega\mathrm{d}x+\sum_{i=1}^{12}E_i.\tag{6.10}$$

First, we estimate the second term on the left hand side. Integration by parts yields

$$-\nu\int_\Omega\Delta\omega\cdot\omega\mathrm{d}x=+\nu\int_\Omega|\nabla\omega|^2\mathrm{d}x-\nu\int_{\partial\Omega}(\vec{n}\cdot\nabla\omega)\cdot\omega\mathrm{d}\sigma.$$

It follows from (6.3) and Lemma 2.4 that

$$\nu\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}(\vec{n}\cdot\nabla\omega)\cdot\omega\mathrm{d}\sigma\right|\le C\nu\int_{\partial\Omega}|\omega|^2\mathrm{d}\sigma+C\nu\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}\sum_{n=1}^3[\omega\times\nabla[\omega\times\vec{n}]_n]_n\mathrm{d}\sigma\right|.$$

Since $\omega\times\vec{n}|_{\partial\Omega}=0$, so $\nabla[\omega\times\vec{n}]_n$ is parallel to $\vec{n}$, thus $[\omega\times\nabla[\omega\times\vec{n}]_n]_n|_{\partial\Omega}=0$. Hence the last term above is zero. It follows from this, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 that

$$\nu\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}(\vec{n}\cdot\nabla\omega)\cdot\omega\mathrm{d}\sigma\right|\le\varepsilon\nu\int_\Omega|\nabla\omega|^2\mathrm{d}x+C(\varepsilon)\nu\int_\Omega|\omega|^2\mathrm{d}x.$$

Consequently, one gets

$$-\nu\int_\Omega\Delta\omega\cdot\omega\mathrm{d}x\ge(1-\varepsilon)\nu\int_\Omega|\nabla\omega|^2\mathrm{d}x-C\nu\int_\Omega|\omega|^2\mathrm{d}x.\tag{6.11}$$

Next, we estimate the terms on the right hand side of (6.10).

First, due to (6.2), (6.7), Lemma 2.3, and Theorem 2.8, one can get

$$\begin{aligned}E_1=&\int_\Omega(\nabla\times(u^\nu\cdot\nabla R))\cdot\omega\mathrm{d}x\le\int_\Omega|\nabla u^\nu|\,|\nabla R|\,|\omega|\mathrm{d}x+\left|\int_\Omega(u^\nu\cdot\nabla\omega)\cdot\omega\mathrm{d}x\right|\\=&\int_\Omega|\nabla u^\nu|\,|\nabla R|\,|\omega|\mathrm{d}x\le||\nabla u^\nu||_\infty||\nabla R||_2\,||\omega||_2\\\le&C\|\nabla u^\nu\|_\infty(\|\omega\|_2+\|\mathrm{div}\,R\|_2)\|\omega\|_2\\\le&C\|\nabla u^\nu\|_\infty\|\omega\|_2^2+C\nu^{-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.12}$$

Due to Lemma 2.3, (6.5) and (6.6), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
|E_2| =& \left|\int_\Omega \nabla \times (R\cdot\nabla u^0)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x\right| \le \int_\Omega |\nabla R|\,|\nabla u^0|\,|\omega| \mathrm{d}x + \left|\int_\Omega (R\cdot\nabla \mathrm{curl} u^0)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x\right| \\
\le& \|\nabla u^0\|_\infty \|\nabla R\|_2\,\|\omega\|_2 + C\|R\|_6\,\|\nabla \mathrm{curl} u^0\|_3\,\|\omega\|_2 \\
\le& C\|\omega\|_2^2 + C\nu^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.13}
$$

Next, note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_3 =& \sqrt{\nu}\int_\Omega \nabla\times(R\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z v)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x \\
=& \sqrt{\nu}\left(\int_\Omega (R\cdot\vec{n})(\nabla\times\partial_z v)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega \partial_z v\times(\nabla(R\cdot\vec{n}))\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x\right) \\
\equiv& K_1 + K_2.
\end{aligned}
$$

One has by Lemma 2.3 and (6.7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|K_2| =& \sqrt{\nu}\left|\int_\Omega \nabla(R\cdot\vec{n})\times\partial_z v\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x\right| \\
\le& \sqrt{\nu}\|\nabla(R\cdot\vec{n})\|_2\,\|\partial_z v\|_6\,\|\omega\|_3 \le C\sqrt{\nu}\|\omega\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\omega\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla(R\cdot\vec{n})\|_2\,\|u^b\|_{1,2,1} \\
\le& \frac{1}{2}\nu\varepsilon\|\nabla\omega\|_2^2 + C\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}\|\omega\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}}(\|\omega\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}} + \|\mathrm{div}\, R\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}}) \\
\le& \frac{1}{2}\nu\varepsilon\|\nabla\omega\|_2^2 + C\|\omega\|_2^2 + C.
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $\nabla_x\varphi = \vec{n}$, one can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|K_1| =& \sqrt{\nu}\left|\int_\Omega R\cdot\vec{n}(\nabla\times\partial_z v)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x\right| \\
=& \sqrt{\nu}\left|\int_\Omega (R\cdot\vec{n})\cdot(\nabla\times(\partial_z\bar{v}\vec{n}))\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x\right| \\
=& \sqrt{\nu}\left|\int_\Omega (R\cdot\vec{n})(\partial_z\bar{v}(\nabla\times\vec{n}) + \vec{n}\times\nabla(\partial_z\bar{v}))\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x\right| \\
=& \sqrt{\nu}\left|\int_\Omega (R\cdot\vec{n})((\partial_z\bar{v})(\nabla\times\vec{n}) + \vec{n}\times(\nabla_x\partial_z\bar{v} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}(\partial_z^2\bar{v})\vec{n}))\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x\right| \\
\le& \sqrt{\nu}\left|\int_\Omega (R\cdot\vec{n})((\partial_z\bar{v})\nabla\times\vec{n})\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x\right| + \sqrt{\nu}\left|\int_\Omega (R\cdot\vec{n})(\vec{n}\times\nabla_x\partial_z\bar{v})\omega \mathrm{d}x\right| \\
\le& \sqrt{\nu}\|R\|_6(\|\partial_z\bar{v}(\nabla\times\vec{n})\|_2 + \|\vec{n}\times(\nabla_x\partial_z\bar{v})\|_2)\|\omega\|_3 \\
\le& C\sqrt{\nu}\|\nabla R\|_2(\|(\mathrm{div}_x u^b)(\nabla\times\vec{n})\|_2 + \|\vec{n}\times(\nabla_x \mathrm{div}_x u^b)\|_2)\|\omega\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\|\nabla\omega\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\le& \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\nu\|\nabla\omega\|_2^2 + C\|\omega\|_2^2 + C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$E_3 \leq C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} + C\|\omega\|_2^2 + \varepsilon\nu\|\nabla\omega\|_2^2. \tag{6.14}$$

To estimate E_4, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} E_4 \equiv & \int_\Omega \nabla \times (R \cdot \vec{n}\partial_z u^b) \cdot \omega \mathrm{d}x \\ = & \int_\Omega (\partial_z u^b \times \nabla(R \cdot \vec{n})) \cdot \omega \mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega (R \cdot \vec{n})(\nabla_x \times \partial_z u^b) \cdot \omega \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \int_\Omega (R \cdot \vec{n})(\vec{n} \times \partial_z^2 u^b) \cdot \omega dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $R \cdot \vec{n} = R^\nu \cdot \vec{n} + b \cdot \vec{n} = R^\nu \cdot \vec{n} + \nu(t,x,0) \cdot \vec{n}$ due to (3.8), thus

$$||R \cdot \vec{n}||_{L^\infty(0,T;L^6(\Omega))} \leq C,$$

by (6.5) and the regularity estimates for u^b. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |E_4| \leq & \|\partial_z u^b\|_\infty \|\nabla(R \cdot \vec{n})\|_2 \|\omega\|_2 + \|R \cdot \vec{n}\|_6 \|\nabla_x \times (\partial_z u^b)\|_3 \|\omega\|_2 \\ & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \|R \cdot \vec{n}\|_6 \|\partial_z^2 u^b\|_2 \|\omega\|_3 \\ \leq & C\|\omega\|_2^2 + C\nu^{-1} + \frac{C}{\sqrt{\nu}} \nu^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u^b\|_{0,1,2} \|\omega\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla\omega\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq & C\|\omega\|_2^2 + C\nu^{-1} + \varepsilon\nu\|\nabla\omega\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

Integrating by parts and using (6.3), one can get

$$\begin{aligned} |E_5| = & \left| -\sqrt{\nu} \int_\Omega R \cdot \nabla_x u^b \mathrm{curl}\omega \mathrm{d}x \right| \leq \sqrt{\nu}\|R\|_6 \|\nabla_x u^b\|_3 \|\mathrm{curl}\omega\|_2 \\ & \leq \sqrt{\nu}\|\nabla_x u^c\|_{1,2,1} \|\nabla R\|_2 \|\mathrm{curl}\omega\|_2 \leq C\nu^{-1} + \|\omega\|_2^2 + \varepsilon\nu\|\nabla\omega\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial_t b(x,t) = \partial_t v(t,x,0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \partial_t u^b(t,x,0)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \mathrm{curl} b(x,t)\|_2 = & \|\partial_t \mathrm{curl}_x v(t,x,0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \partial_t \mathrm{curl}_x u^b(t,x,0)\| \\ & \leq \|\partial_t \mathrm{curl}_x v(t,x,0)\|_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \|\partial_t \mathrm{curl}_x u^b(t,x,0)\| \\ & \leq C\|\partial_t u^b\|_{1,2,0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \|\partial_t u^b\|_{1,1,1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, E_6 can be bounded as follows,

$$|E_6| \leq \|\partial_t \mathrm{curl} b(x,t)\|_2 \|\omega\|_2 \leq C(\|\partial_t u^b\|_{1,2,0}^2 + \nu^{-1}\|\partial_t u^b\|_{1,1,1}^2) + \|\omega\|_2^2. \tag{6.16}$$

Integrating by parts shows that $E_7 = \nu\int_\Omega \Delta_x b\cdot \mathrm{curl}\omega \mathrm{d}x$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}|E_7| &\le \nu\|\Delta b(x,t)\|_2\|\mathrm{curl}\omega\|_2 \le \nu(\|\Delta_x v(t,x,0)\|_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}\|\Delta_x u^b(t,x,0)\|_2)\|\mathrm{curl}\omega\|_2\\ &\le C(\nu\|u^b\|_{1,3,0}^2 + \|u^b\|_{1,2,1}^2) + \varepsilon\nu\|\nabla\omega\|_2^2.\end{aligned}\tag{6.17}$$

Since $\|b\|_\infty + \|b\|_{H^2} + ||\nabla_x b||_\infty + ||\nabla_x^2 b||_\infty \le C\|u^b\|_{1,3,1}\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}|E_8| =& \left|\int_\Omega \nabla\times(u^\nu\cdot\nabla b)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x\right|\\ \le& \int_\Omega |\nabla u^\nu|\,|\nabla b|\,|\omega|\mathrm{d}x + \left|\int_\Omega u^\nu\cdot\nabla(\nabla\times b)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x\right|\\ \le& \|\nabla u^\nu\|_\infty\|\nabla b\|_2\|\omega\|_2 + \|\nabla_x^2 b\|_\infty\|u^\nu\|_2\|\omega\|_2\\ \le& C\|\omega\|_2^2 + C\nu^{-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.18}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}|E_9| =& \left|\int_\Omega \nabla\times(b\times\nabla u^0)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x\right|\\ \le& \left|\int_\Omega |(\nabla\times b)||\nabla u^0||\omega|\mathrm{d}x\right| + \int_\Omega |b||\nabla\cdot(\nabla\times u^0)||\omega|\mathrm{d}x\\ \le& C\|\omega\|_2^2 + C\nu^{-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.19}$$

Due to (3.8), it holds that $b\cdot\vec{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}u^b(t,x,0)\cdot\vec{n} + v(t,x,0)\vec{n} = v(t,x,0)\vec{n} = \bar{v}(t,x,0) = -\int_0^\infty \mathrm{div}_x u^b(t,x,z)\mathrm{d}z$, one can get

$$\begin{aligned}|E_{10}+E_{11}+E_{12}| \le& \sqrt{\nu}\left|\int_\Omega \bar{v}(t,x,0)\mathrm{div}_x u^b\vec{n}\cdot\nabla\times\omega \mathrm{d}x\right| + \left|\int_\Omega \bar{v}(t,x,0)\partial_z u^b\cdot(\nabla\times\omega)\mathrm{d}x\right|\\ &+ \sqrt{\nu}\left|\int_\Omega (b\cdot\nabla u^b)\cdot\mathrm{curl}\omega \mathrm{d}x\right|\\ \le& \varepsilon\nu\|\nabla\omega\|_2^2 + C\nu^{-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.20}$$

It remains to estimate the term $\int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}(R.H.S)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x$. Set

$$\int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}(R.H.S)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{i=1}^{14} D_i,$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_1 = -\int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}(\partial_t v)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x, && D_2 = \int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}\triangle u^0\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x,\\
&D_3 = \sqrt{\nu}\int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}[\triangle_x u^b]\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x, && D_4 = \int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}(2n\cdot\nabla_x\partial_z u^b)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x,\\
&D_5 = \nu\int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}(\triangle_x[v(x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}])\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x, && D_6 = -\int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}(u^\nu\cdot\nabla_x v)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x,\\
&D_7 = -\int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}(v\cdot\nabla u^0)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x, && D_8 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}\int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}(u^0\cdot n\partial_z v)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x,\\
&D_9 = -\sqrt{\nu}\int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}(v\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z v)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x, && D_{10} = -\int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}(v\cdot\vec{n}\partial_z u^b)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x,\\
&D_{11} = -\int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}(u^b\cdot\nabla_x u^b)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x, && D_{12} = \int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}(\triangle\varphi\cdot\partial_z u^b)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x,\\
&D_{13} = -\sqrt{\nu}\int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}(v\cdot\nabla_x u^b)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x, && D_{14} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}\int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}(\nabla_x q)\cdot\omega \mathrm{d}x.
\end{aligned}$$

As in the argument in the estimate of E_6, one can infer

$$|D_1| \le C(\|\partial_t u^c\|^2_{1,2,0})\nu^{-1} + C\|\omega\|_2^2. \tag{6.21}$$

It follows from the regularity of u^0 and u^b and integration by parts due to (6.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_2| &= \left|\int_\Omega \mathrm{curl}\Delta u^0\cdot\omega\right| \le C + \|\omega\|_2^2,\\
|D_3| &= \sqrt{\nu}\left|\int_\Omega \Delta_x u^b \mathrm{curl}\omega \mathrm{d}x\right| \le \sqrt{\nu}\|\Delta_x u^b\|_2\|\mathrm{curl}\omega\|_2\\
&\le C\|u^b\|^2_{0,2,0} + \varepsilon\nu\|\mathrm{curl}\omega\|_2^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

Since $\mathrm{curl}_x[2\vec{n}\cdot\nabla_x\partial_z u^b] = 2\mathrm{curl}\vec{n}\cdot\nabla_x\partial_z u^b - 2\vec{n}\cdot\nabla_x\mathrm{curl}_x u^b - 2\vec{n}\cdot\nabla_x(\partial_z^2 u^b\times\vec{n})\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}$, thus

$$|D_4| \le C\|u^b\|^2_{0,1,2}\nu^{-1} + C\|u^b\|^2_{0,2,1} + \|\omega\|_2^2. \tag{6.23}$$

Due to

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_x\left[h(x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}})\right] &= \Delta_x h(x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}) + 2\frac{n(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}\cdot\nabla_x\partial_z h(x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}) + \frac{\Delta\varphi}{\sqrt{\nu}}\partial_z h(x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}})\\
&+ \frac{1}{\nu}\partial_z^2 h(x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}),
\end{aligned}$$

we can estimate the D_5 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_5| \leq & \nu \left| \int_\Omega \Delta_x \left[v(t,x,\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{\nu}}) \right] \operatorname{curl}\omega \mathrm{d}x \right| \\
\leq & \nu \left| \int_\Omega \left(\Delta_x v(t,x,\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{\nu}}) + 2\frac{\vec{n}(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}} \cdot \nabla_x \partial_z v(t,x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}) + \frac{\Delta\varphi}{\sqrt{\nu}} \partial_z v(t,x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}) \right.\right. \\
& \left.\left. + \frac{1}{\nu}\partial_z^2 v(t,x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}) \right) \operatorname{curl}\omega \mathrm{d}x \right| \\
\leq & C\|u^b\|_{1,3,0}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\nu\|\nabla\omega\|_2^2 + \left| \int_\Omega \vec{n} \operatorname{div}_x \partial_z u^b \cdot \operatorname{curl}\omega \mathrm{d}x \right| \\
\leq & C(\|u^b\|_{1,3,0}^2 + \|u^b\|_{0,1,1}^2 \nu^{-1}) + \varepsilon\nu\|\nabla\omega\|_2^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

Next, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_6| = & \left| \int_\Omega u^\nu \cdot \nabla_x v \cdot \omega \mathrm{d}x \right| \\
\leq & ||\nabla\omega||_2 \, ||\nabla_x v||_3 \, ||u^\nu||_6 \\
\leq & C\|\nabla\omega\|_2 \|u^b\|_{1,3,1} \|\nabla u^\nu\|_6 \\
\leq & C\|\nabla\omega\|_2 \|u^b\|_{1,3,1} \\
\leq & \varepsilon\nu\|\nabla\omega\|_2^2 + C\nu^{-1}\|u^b\|_{1,3,1}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

Direct estimate using (4.1) leads to

$$|D_7| = \left| \int_\Omega (v \cdot \nabla u^0 \cdot \operatorname{curl}\omega) \mathrm{d}x \right| \leq \varepsilon\nu \int_\Omega |\nabla\omega|^2 \mathrm{d}x + O(1)\nu^{-1}. \tag{6.26}$$

Next, it follows from the regularities of f and integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_8| = & \left| \int_\Omega \frac{u^0 \cdot n}{\varphi} (z\partial_z v)\big|_{z=\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}}} \cdot \operatorname{curl}\omega \right| \\
\leq & \|f\|_\infty \|u^b\|_{2,1,0} \|\nabla \times \omega\|_2 \leq \varepsilon\nu\|\nabla\omega\|_2^2 + C\nu^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

Similarly, it follows from the uniform bounds on $\partial_z u^b$ and $\Delta\phi$ that

$$\left| \sum_{i=9}^{13} D_i \right| \leq C + C\nu^{-1} + \varepsilon\nu\|\nabla\omega\|_2^2, \tag{6.28}$$

where C depends on $\|u^b\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H_{1,3,1})}$.

It remains to estimate D_{14}. To this end, we note that $\operatorname{curl}[\nabla_x q] = \partial_z \nabla_x q \times$

$\vec{n}$. Thus, due to (3.7), one has

$$\begin{aligned}|D_{14}| =&\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}\left|\int_\Omega (\partial_z\nabla_x q\times\vec{n})\cdot\omega\mathrm{d}x\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}\|\nabla_x\partial_z q\|_2\|\omega\|_2\\ \leq&\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}\|\nabla\left((u^0\cdot\nabla_x u^b+u^b\cdot\nabla u^0)\cdot\vec{n}\right)\|_2\|\omega\|_2\\ \leq& C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|u^b\|_{0,2,0}^2+\|\omega\|_2^2.\end{aligned}\tag{6.29}$$

As a consequence of all the estimates (6.11)-(6.29) and Propositions 4.3-4.6, we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\omega\|_2^2+\frac{\nu}{2}\int_\Omega|\nabla\omega|^2\mathrm{d}x\leq C\|\omega\|_2^2+C(1+\|\partial_t u^b\|_{1,1,1}^2)\nu^{-1}.\tag{6.30}$$

Since $(\|\partial_t u^b\|_{1,1,1}^2+1)$ is integrable on [0,T], so (6.30) implies that $||\omega||_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\leq C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. This and (6.8) show that $\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}||R||_{1,2}\leq C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|R^\nu\|_{1,2}\leq C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}.\tag{6.31}$$

Note that, due to Lemma 4.1, one has that

$$\left\|\nabla_x[v(t,x,\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\nu}})]\right\|_2\leq\|u^b\|_{1,2,0}+\nu^{-\frac{1}{4}}\|u^b\|_{0,2,0}.\tag{6.32}$$

Thus, we have shown that for $\nu\in(0,\nu_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|u^\nu-u^0\|_{1,2}\leq C\nu^{-\frac{1}{4}},\\ &\int_0^T\|u^\nu-u^0-\sqrt{\nu}u^b\|_{2,2}^2\mathrm{d}t\leq C.\end{aligned}\tag{6.33}$$

Furthermore, similar to the derivation of (6.30), one can show that for $p\in(3,6]$, it holds that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}||\omega||_p^p\leq C(||u^b||_{1,3,3}^{p'}+1)||\omega||_p^p+C\nu^{-\frac{p}{2}},\tag{6.34}$$

since $p>3$, so it follows from the Sobolev's embedding that

$$||R^\nu||_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}\leq C\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}.\tag{6.35}$$

Consequently,

$$||u^\nu-u^0||_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}\leq C\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}.\tag{6.36}$$

Thus, the proof of Theorem 3.4 is completed.

References

[1] Adams A. and Fournier J.J.F, Sobolev Space second ed., *Pure and Applied Mathematics series* , **140**, Elsevier(Singapore) Pte Ltd. (2009).

[2] Beirão da Veiga H., On the sharp vanishing viscosity limit of viscous incompressible fluid flows,Kazhikhov's Memorial Volume: New Deirections in Mathematical Fluid Mechanics, book series *Advances in Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, 113-122, Birkhäuser Verlag Basel, 2009.

[3] Beirão da Veiga H. and Berselli L.C., Navier-Stokes Equations: Green's matrices, vorticity direction, and regularity up to the boundary, *J. Differential Equations.* **246**(2), 597-628 (2009).

[4] Beirão da Veiga H. and Crispo F., Sharp inviscid limit results under Navier type boundary conditions. An L^p theory, *J. Math. Fluid. Mech.* **12**, 397-411 (2010).

[5] Beirão da Veiga H., Crispo F. and Grisanti C.R., Reducing slip boundary value probelms from the half to the whole space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.***377**, 216-227 (2011).

[6] Beirão da Veiga H. and Crispo F., The 3-D inviscid limit result under slip boundary conditions. A negative answer, *J. Math. Fluid. Mech.*, in press, DOI:10.1007/s00021-010-0047-5. arXiv: 1010.5131v1[math.AP] 25 Oct 2010.

[7] Bourguignon J.P. and Brezis H., Remarks on the Euler equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **15**, 341-363(1974).

[8] Clopeau T., Mikelić A. and Robert R., On the vanishing viscosity limit for the $2D$ incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with the friction type boundary condition, Nonlinearity. **11** , 1625-1636(1998).

[9] Constantin P. and Foias C., Navier-Stokes equations. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1988

[10] Constantin P. and Wu J., Inviscid limit for vortex patches, *Nonlinearity.* **8**(5), 735-742(1995).

[11] Ebin D.G. and Marsden J., Groups of diffeomorphisms and the notion of an incompressible fluid, *Ann. of Math.* **92**(2), 102-163(1970).

[12] Diening L. and Růžička M., Strong solutions for generalized Newtonian fluids, *J. math. fluid mech.* **7**, 413-450(2005).

[13] Iftimie D. and Planas G., Inviscid limits for the Navier-Stokes equations with Navier friction boundary conditions, *Nonlinearity.* **19**4, , 899-918(2006).

[14] Iftimie D. and Sueur F., Viscous boundary layers for the Navier-Stokes equations with the Navier slip conditions, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, DOI:10.1007/s00205-010-0320-z.

[15] Jäger W. and Mikelić A., On the roughness-induced effective boundary conditions for an incompressible viscous flow, *J.Diff. Eqns.* **170**, 96-122(2001).

[16] Kato T., Nonstationary flows of viscous and ideal fluids in R^3, *J. Functional Analysis.* **9** , 296-305(1972).

[17] Kato T., Remarks on zero viscosity limit for nonstationary Navier-Stokes flows with boundary", Seminar on nonlinear partial differential equations (Berkeley, Calif., 1983). 85-98. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 2. Springer, New York, 1984.

[18] Lions P.L., "Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 1. Incompressible models. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, 3. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.

[19] Lopes Filho M.C., Nussenzveig Lopes H.J. and Planas G., On the inviscid limit for two-dimensional incompressible flow with Navier friction condition, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **36**, 1130-1141(2005).

[20] Masmoudi N., Remarks about the Inviscid Limit of the Navier-Stokes System, *Commun. Math. Phys.,* **270**, 777-788 (2007).

[21] Masmoudi N. and Rousset F., Uniform Regularity for the Navier-Stokes Equation with Navier Boundary Condition, arXiv:1008.1678vl [math.AP] 10 Aug 2010.

[22] Navier C.L.M.H., Sur les lois de l'equilibre et du mouvement des corps élastiques, *Mem. Acad. R. Sci. Inst. France.* **6**, 369(1827).

[23] Nečas J., Sur une méthode pour résoudre les équations aux dé rivées partielles du type elliptique, voisine de la variationnelle, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **16**(3), 305-326(1962).

[24] Novotný A. and Straškraba I., Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Compressible Flow, Oxford University Press, 2004.

[25] Oleinik O.A. and Samokhin V.N., Mathematical Models in Boundary Layers Theory, Chanpman & Hall/CRC, 1999.

[26] Prandtl L., über Flüssigkeitsbewegungen bei sehr Kleiner Reibung, Verh. III Int. Math.-Kongr. (Heidelberg 1904), 484-494. Teubner, Leipzig, 1905.

[27] Sammartino M. and Caflisch R.E., Zero viscosity limit for analytic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation on a half-space, I. Existence for Euler and Prandtl equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **192**(2), 433-461(1998); Zero viscosity limit for analytic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation on a half-space, II. Construction of the Navier-Stokes solution, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **192**(2), 463-491(1998).

[28] Solonnikov V.A., L_p-estimates for solutions to the initial boundary-value problem for the generalized Stokes system in a bounded domain, *J. Math. Sci.*, **105**(5), 2448-2484(2001).

[29] Swan H.S.G., The convergence with vanishing viscosity of nonstationary Navier-Stokes flow to ideal flow in $\mathbb{R}^3$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **157**, 373-397(1971).

[30] Temam R., On the euler equations of incompressible perfect fluids, Séminaire Équations Aux Dérivées Partielles-(Polytechnique). exp. **10**, 1-14(1974-1975).

[31] Temam R. and Wang X., Boundary layers associated with incompressible Navier-Stokes equations: the noncharacteristic boundary case, *J. Differential Equations* **179**(2), 647-686(2002).

[32] Wang X., A Kato type theorem on zero viscosity limit of Navier-Stokes flows, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **50**(Special Issue), 223-241(2001).

[33] Wang X.P., Wang Y.G. and Xin Z.P., Boundary layers in incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions for the vanishing viscosity limit, *Commun. Math. Sci.* **4**(8), 965-998(2010).

[34] Xiao Y.L. and Xin Z.P., On the vanishing viscosity limit for the Navier-Stokes equations with a slip boundary condition, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **60**, 1027-1055(2007).

[35] Xiao Y.L. and Xin Z.P., Remarks on the vanishing viscosity limit for the Navier-Stokes equations with a slip boundary condition, *Chin. Ann. Math.* **32B**(3), 321-332(2011).

[36] Xin Z.P. and Yanagisawa T., Zero-viscosity limit of the linearized Navier-Stokes equations for a compressible viscous fluid in the half-plane, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **52**(4), 479-541(1999).

[37] Xin Z.P. and Zhang L., On the global existence of solutions to the Prandtl's system, *Adv. Math.* **181**(1), 88-133(2004).

[38] Yudovich V.I., A two-dimensional non-stationary problem on the flow of an ideal incompressible fluid through a given region, *Mat. Sb.***4**(64), 562-588(1964).